arXiv:1502.00949v1 [math.NT] 3 Feb 2015

A SPIEGELUNGSSATZ FOR FUNCTION FIELD L-SERIES

BRUNO ANGLÈS AND FLORIC TAVARES RIBEIRO

Abstract. We prove a kind of reflection principle for certain non-archimedean L-series in positive characteristic. We also prove the pseudo-cyclicity and pseudo-nullity of certain several variable generalizations of the class modules introduced by L. Taelman in 2010.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 7
2.1. Background on entire functions 7
2.2. Gauss-Thakur sums 10
2.3. L-functions 11
2.4. P-adic interpolation 12
3. Anderson's P-adic logarithm 14
4. Non-vanishing of David Goss P-adic Dirichlet L-functions 16
5. The special function ω_P 22
6. The Spiegelungssatz 24
6.1. The case $s = 1$ 25
6.2. The case $s \geq 2$ 28
7. The case of the place ∞ 30
7.1. The case $s = 1$ 31
7.2. The case $s \geq 2$ 32
8. Application to class modules 33
8.1. Background on the s-variable class module 33
8.2. Pseudo-cyclicity 35
8.3. The case of Taelman's class modules 39
8.4. Pseudo-nullity 40
References 42

1. Introduction

The existence of a functional equation for David Goss L-series (see [13], chapter 8 and [16]) is an open problem in the arithmetic theory of function fields over finite fields. A hint that such a functional identity should exist can be found for example in the statement of the Spiegelungssatz for the Carlitz module (see [9]) that asserts

---

Date: february, 2, 2015.

that Taelman's class module (see [29], [31]) and some isotypic components of the p-Sylow subgroup of the $\mathbb{F}_q$- rational points of some jacobians attached to the Carlitz module ($\mathbb{F}_q$ being a finite field of characteristic p and having q elements) are related by a kind of reflection principle similar to the classical Spiegelungssatz of Leopoldt ([21]). Indeed, since the work of L. Taelman (see [30]), it is known that the "size" of Taelman's class modules are related to the values at one of David Goss L-series (see also [6], [8], [10], [11]), and, by the results of D. Goss and W. Sinnott ([17]), the non-triviality of the isotypic components of the p-Sylow subgroup of the $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points of some jacobians attached to the Carlitz module is connected to the values of the Carlitz-Goss zeta function at negative integers (see also [3]). In this article we show that there exists a kind of reflection principle for certain v-adic L-series attached to $K := \mathbb{F}_q(\theta)$, θ being an indeterminate over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, v being any place of K.

Let $s \geq 0$ be an integer and let $t_1, \ldots, t_s, z$ be $s+1$ indeterminates over K. Let's consider the following power series:

$$L(t_1, \ldots, t_s; z) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \sum_{a \in A_{+,k}} \frac{a(t_1)\cdots a(t_s)}{a} z^k \in K(t_1, \ldots, t_s)[[z]],$$

where $A_{+,k}$ denotes the monic elements in $A := \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$ of degree k. Note that:

$$L(t_1, \ldots, t_s; z) = \prod_{P \,\text{prime of}\, A} (1 - \frac{P(t_1)\cdots P(t_s) z^{deg_\theta P}}{P})^{-1},$$

where by a prime of A we mean a monic irreducible polynomial in A. We observe that $L(t_1, \ldots, t_s; z)$ is of adelic nature, indeed $L(t_1, \ldots, t_s; z)$ defines an ∞-adic $s+1$-variable entire function ([4], Proposition 6), and for every prime P of A, by Theorem 1, $(1 - \frac{P(t_1)\cdots P(t_s) z^{deg_\theta P}}{P}) L(t_1, \cdots, t_s; z)$ defines a P-adic $s+1$-variable entire function. Our aim in this paper is to study this adelic L-series at $z = 1$ or its derivative (with respect to z) at $z = 1$ in the case of a trivial zero at $z = 1$.

We first recall the case of the place ∞ and $s = 1$. Set $t = t_1$ and let $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ be the completion of a fixed algebraic closure of $K_\infty := \mathbb{F}_q((\frac{1}{\theta}))$. F. Pellarin has introduced in [24] the following entire function on $\mathbb{C}_\infty$:

$$L(t) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \sum_{a \in A_{+,k}} \frac{a(t)}{a} = L(t; z) \mid z = 1.$$

This function interpolates the values at 1 of some abelian L-series introduced by David Goss (see [13], chapter 8) :

$$\forall \zeta \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, L(t) \mid_{t=\zeta} = L(1, \chi) := \sum_{a \in A_+} \frac{\chi(a)}{a} \in \mathbb{C}_\infty^\times,$$

where χ is a certain Dirichlet character attached to ζ and where $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ is the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$ in $\mathbb{C}_\infty$. The function $L(t)$ and its s-variable generalization play an important role in the arithmetic theory of function fields over finite fields (see [4], [5], [6], [14], [25], [26], [27]). Let's observe that $L(t) \in \mathbb{T}$, where $\mathbb{T}$ is the Tate algebra in the variable t with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}_\infty$. Now let us fix a $q-1$th root of $-\theta$ in $\mathbb{C}_\infty$: $\sqrt[q-1]{-\theta}$, and let $\omega(t)$ be the Anderson-Thakur special function (see

[2], proof of Lemma 2.5.4), i. e. :

$$\omega(t) =^{q-1}\sqrt{-\theta}\prod_{j\geq 0}(1-\frac{t}{\theta^{q^j}})^{-1}\in \mathbb{T}^{\times}.$$

Let $\tau : \mathbb{T}\rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be the continuous morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$-algebras such that $\forall x\in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}, \tau(x)=x^q$. We then have the following remarkable formula obtained by F. Pellarin in [24]:

$$L(t)\omega(t)=log_C(\omega(t))=\frac{\widetilde{\pi}}{\theta-t}, \tag{1}$$

where $log_C=1+\sum_{j\geq 1}\frac{1}{\prod_{k=0}^{j-1}(\theta-\theta^{q^k})}\tau^j$, and $\widetilde{\pi}=^{q-1}\sqrt{-\theta}\theta\prod_{j\geq 1}(1-\theta^{1-q^j})^{-1}\in\mathbb{C}_{\infty}^{\times}$ is the period of the Carlitz module. Our first goal in this article is to generalize the above formula to the case of s-variable v-adic L-series where v is any place of K and $s\geq 1$ is any integer.

In this introduction, we will only discuss about the Spiegelungssatz for L-series in the case of a prime P of A of degree $d\geq 1$ and $s=1$, we refer the reader to sections 6 and 7 of this work for the general cases. Our idea is to compare two kinds of P-adic L-series : one that comes from P-adic Lubin-Tate theory and the other that comes from P-adic Carlitz theory (the prime P is "viewed" as an infinite place as it will be explained below).

Let's discuss first the case of P-adic Lubin-Tate theory. Let K_P be the P-adic completion of K, and let $\mathbb{C}_P$ be the completion of a fixed algebraic closure of K_P. Let $v_P:\mathbb{C}_P\rightarrow\mathbb{Q}\cup\{+\infty\}$ be the valuation on $\mathbb{C}_P$ normalized such that $v_P(P)=1$. Let t be an indeterminate over $\mathbb{C}_P$. We recall that $(1-\frac{P(t)z^d}{P})L(t;z)$ defines an entire function on $\mathbb{C}_P^2$. This P-adic function has a trivial zero at $z=1$ (Proposition 3). Therefore, we set:

$$L_P(t)=\sum_{k\geq 1}\sum_{a\in A_{+,k}, a\not\equiv 0 \pmod{P}} k\frac{a(t)}{a}=\frac{d}{dz}(1-\frac{P(t)z^d}{P})L(t;z)\mid_{z=1}.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the completion of $\mathbb{C}_P[t,\frac{1}{P(t)}]$ for the Gauss P-adic valuation on $\mathbb{C}_P[t,\frac{1}{P(t)}]$ still denoted by v_P (see section 1). Observe that $L_P(t)\in\mathcal{A}$. Let $\tau:\mathcal{A}\rightarrow\mathcal{A}$ be the continuous morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q[t,\frac{1}{P(t)}]$-algebras such that $\forall x\in\mathbb{C}_P$, $\tau(x)=x^q$. In section 5, we construct an analogue of Anderson-Thakur's function in this case, it is an element $\omega_P(t)\in\mathcal{A}$ that satisfies:

$$\tau(\omega_P(t))=(\frac{t-\theta}{t-\zeta_P})\omega_P(t),$$

where $\zeta_P\in\mathbb{F}_{q^d}\subset\mathbb{C}_P$ is such that $v_P(\theta-\zeta_P)>0$. Furthermore, $\omega_P(t)$ interpolates P-adically the Gauss-Thakur sums (Theorem 4). Let $C^{\dagger}:A\rightarrow\mathcal{A}\{\tau\}$ be the morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebras such that:

$$C^{\dagger}_{\theta}=(t-\zeta_P)\tau+\theta.$$

Then one can associate to $C^{\dagger}$ a logarithm $log_{C^{\dagger}}\in\mathcal{A}\{\{\tau\}\}$, i.e. this is the unique element in $\mathcal{A}\{\{\tau\}\}$ such that $log_{C^{\dagger}}\equiv 1 \pmod{\tau}$ and :

$$log_{C^{\dagger}}C^{\dagger}_{\theta}=\theta log_{C^{\dagger}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}^0=\{x\in\mathcal{A}, v_P(x)\geq 0\}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{00}=\{x\in\mathcal{A}, v_P(x)>0\}$. One can easily show that $log_{C^{\dagger}}$ converges on $\mathcal{A}^{00}$, and using similar arguments than that used by

Iwasawa for the classical p-adic logarithm, we can extend $log_{C^\dagger}$ into a continuous morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q[t, \frac{1}{P(t)}]$-modules : $log_{C^\dagger,P} : \mathcal{A}^0 \to \mathcal{A}$. We have:

$$log_{C^\dagger,P}(\omega_P(t)) = 0.$$

The function $\omega_P(t)$ plays the same role for $L_P(t)$ as that of $\omega(t)$ for $L(t)$. Let $C : A \to A\{\tau\}$ be the Carlitz module, i.e. C is a morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebras such that $C_\theta = \tau + \theta$. Write:

$$C_P = \sum_{k=0}^{d} (P,k)\tau^k,$$

where $(P,k) \in A$, $k = 0, \ldots, d$. Then we have an analogous formula as that of formula (1) (see the proof of Theorem 5):

$$L_P(t)\omega_P(t) = \frac{1}{P} log_{C^\dagger,P}(\sum_{k=1}^{d} k(P,k)b_k(t)\omega_P(t)),$$

where $b_0(t) = 1$ and for $j \geq 1 : b_j(t) = \prod_{k=0}^{j-1}(t - \theta^{q^k})$.
Now, let's focus on the P-adic Carlitz theory for L-series. We notice that $v_P(\theta - \zeta_P) = 1$. Thus let's work with $\theta - \zeta_P$ instead of P. Let's view $\theta - \zeta_P$ as an infinite prime of $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}(\theta)$. Let's consider a "twist" of Pellarin's L-series with respect to this infinite prime:

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}[\frac{1}{\theta - \zeta_P}]_{+,k}} \frac{a(\frac{1}{t-\zeta_P})}{a(\frac{1}{\theta - \zeta_P})} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Let's take its "norm over $\mathbb{F}_q$":

$$\mathcal{L}_P(t) = \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \tau^j (\sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}[\theta]_{+,k}} \frac{a(\frac{1}{t-\zeta_P})}{a(\frac{1}{\theta - \zeta_P})}) \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Then $\mathcal{L}_P(t)$ is convergent on $\mathbb{C}_P \setminus \{\zeta_P, \ldots, \zeta_P^{q^{d-1}}\}$. One can show that $\omega_P(t)$ plays again an important role for $\mathcal{L}_P(t)$ (see the proof of Theorem 5):

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}_P(t)\omega_P(t)}{\pi_P} = \frac{P(t)}{b_d(t)},$$

where $\pi_P = \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} \prod_{j \geq 1} (1 - (\theta - \zeta_P)^{q^{dj+i} - q^i})^{-1}$. Therefore, if we combine the formulas for $L_P(t)$ and $\mathcal{L}_P(t)$, we get a Spiegelungssatz for these P-adic L-series (Theorem 5):

$$\frac{b_d(t)\mathcal{L}_P(t)}{\pi_P}(\frac{1}{P} log_{C^\dagger,P}(\sum_{k=1}^{d} k(P,k)b_k(t)\omega_P(t))) = P(t)L_P(t),$$

the above equality taking place in the P-adic affinoid algebra $\mathcal{A}$.

A large part of this work grew out of an attempt to prove the non-vanishing at one of certain v-adic L-series (Theorem 2) without using Vincent Bosser's v-adic Baker-Brumer Theorem (see [8]). In order to do so, one of the main ingredients is to obtain explicit formulas for these v-adic values (Theorem 3). The Spiegelungssatz for L-series can be viewed as a reinterpretation of such explicit formulas in certain v-adic affinoid algebras. The "Anderson-Stark units" (see [6], [7]) and their derivatives play a key role in this article. Let $\tau = K(t_1, \ldots, t_s)[[z]] \to K(t_1, \ldots, t_s)[[z]]$ be the continuous morphism (for the z-adic topology) of $\mathbb{F}_q(t_1, \ldots, t_s)[[z]]$-algebras given by $\tau(x) = x^q$ for all $x \in K$. Then there exists $u(t_1, \ldots, t_s; z) \in A[t_1, \ldots, t_s, z]$ such that ([6], Corollary 5.12):

$$\exp_{s,z}(L(t_1, \ldots, t_s; z)) = u(t_1, \ldots, t_s; z),$$

where $\exp_{s,z} = 1 + \sum_{j\geq 1} \frac{z^j \prod_{i=1}^{s} \prod_{k=0}^{j-1}(t_i - \theta^{q^k})}{\prod_{k=1}^{j}(\theta^{q^j} - \theta^{q^{j-k}})} \tau^j$. Then $u(t_1, \ldots, t_s; z) \mid_{z=1}$ or its derivative (with respect to z) at $z = 1$ in the case $s \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$ play a role analogous to that of Stark units, i.e. the special values of the v-adic L-series considered in this paper can be written as linear combinations of v-adic logarithms of some elements attached to these Anderson-Stark units and their derivatives (see for example Theorem 3). In the last section of this work, using some properties of Anderson-Stark units and their derivatives, we give some new results on "generic class modules".

In order to explain and motivate the content of the last section of this work, we first recall some facts from classical cyclotomic theory (we refer the reader to [33], chapters 13 and 15). Let $p \geq 3$ be an odd prime number and let $\Delta = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)/\mathbb{Q})$. Let M be the projective limit for the norm map of the p-Sylow subgroups of the ideal class groups along the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p) : \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})$. Let $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p))$, and let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that:

$$\forall \zeta \in \mu_{p^\infty},\, \gamma(\zeta) = \zeta^{1+p}.$$

We can identify $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma]]$ with $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ by sending γ to $1 + T$. Therefore M is a $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]][\Delta]$-module. Let $\chi \in \widehat{\Delta} := \mathrm{Hom}(\Delta, \mathbb{Z}_p^\times)$, and let $e_\chi = \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{\delta\in\Delta} \chi(\delta)\delta^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$. For $\chi \in \widehat{\Delta}$, we set:

$$M_\chi = e_\chi M.$$

Then M_χ is a finitely generated and torsion $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$-module. If χ is odd distinct from the Teichmüller character : ω_p, B. Mazur and A. Wiles proved that the characteristic ideal of the $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$-module M_χ is generated by some polynomial $P_\chi(T) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[T]$ such that there exists $U_\chi(T) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]^\times$ with the property ([22]):

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{Z}_p,\, P_\chi((1 + p)^y - 1)U_\chi((1 + p)^y - 1) = L_p(y, \omega_p\chi^{-1}),$$

where $L_p(., \omega_p\chi^{-1})$ is the p-adic L-function attached to the even character $\omega_p\chi^{-1}$. Observe that if Vandiver's Conjecture is true for the prime p, then for χ odd, M_χ is a cyclic $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$-module of for χ even: $M_\chi = \{0\}$. R. Greenberg has conjectured weaker statements (see [18]):

Pseudo-cyclicity Conjecture: If χ is odd then there exists an injective morphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$-modules between a cyclic $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$-module and M_χ such that the cokernel of this morphism is finite;

Pseudo-nullity Conjecture: If χ is even then M_χ is finite.

These two conjectures are open.

Now, we turn ourselves to the function field case. Let $s \geq 1$ be an integer and let $t_1, \ldots, t_s$ be s variables over K_∞. Let $\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)$ be the Tate algebra in the variables $t_1, \ldots, t_s$ with coefficients in K_∞. Let $\tau : \mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty) \to \mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)$ be the continuous morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q[t_1, \ldots, t_s]$-algebras such that $\forall x \in K_\infty, \tau(x) = x^q$. Let $\phi : A \to A[t_1, \ldots, t_s]\{\tau\}$ be the morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebras such that:

$$\phi_\theta = (t_1 - \theta) \cdots (t_s - \theta)\tau + \theta.$$

Let $\exp_\phi$ be the exponential function attached to ϕ, i.e. $\exp_\phi$ is the unique element in $\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)\{\{\tau\}\}$ such that $\exp_\phi \equiv 1 \pmod{\tau}$ and:

$$\exp_\phi \theta = \phi_\theta \exp_\phi .$$

Then inspired by Taelman's work ([29], [30], [31]), one can introduce a "generic class module" of level s (see [6]):

$$H_s := \frac{\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)}{A[t_1,\dots,t_s] + \exp_\phi(\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty))}.$$

Observe that H_s is an $A[t_1,\dots,t_s]$-module via ϕ, furthermore it is a finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_q[t_1,\dots,t_s]$-module and a torsion $A[t_1,\dots,t_s]$-module (we refer the reader to section 8 for more details). The terminology "generic class module" comes from the fact that the evaluations of elements of $\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)$ on elements of $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}^s$ ($\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ being the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$ in $\mathbb{C}_\infty$) induce surjective morphisms from H_s to certain isotypic components of Taelman's class modules. These generic class modules can be viewed as discrete analogues of the Iwasawa modules discussed above, having in mind that the role of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is played by $\mathbb{F}_q[t_1,\dots,t_s]$, the role of T is played by ϕ_θ. For example Mazur-Wiles Theorem has an analogue in this situation, let $s \geq q$, $s \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$ (this is the analogue of the condition χ odd, $\chi \neq \omega_p$, for Iwasawa modules), then there exists $\mathbb{B}(t_1,\dots,t_s) \in A[t_1,\dots,t_s]$ which is a monic polynomial in θ, such that the Fitting ideal of the $A[t_1,\dots,t_s]$-module H_s is generated by $\mathbb{B}(t_1,\dots,t_s)$ and ([6], Theorem 7.7):

$$(-1)^{\frac{s-1}{q-1}}\mathbb{B}(t_1,\dots,t_s)\frac{\widetilde{\pi}}{\omega(t_1)\cdots\omega(t_s)} = L(t_1,\dots,t_s),$$

where $L(t_1,\dots,t_s) = L(t_1,\dots,t_s;z)\mid_{z=1} \in \mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)$. The reader can now easily guess what are the discrete analogues of Greenberg 's Conjectures in our situation. We prove these analogues in section 8:
Pseudo-cyclicity: let $s \geq 2$, $s \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$, there exists an injective morphism of $A[t_1,\dots,t_s]$-modules between a cyclic $A[t_1,\dots,t_s]$-module and H_s such that the cokernel of this morphism is a finitely generated and torsion $\mathbb{F}_q[t_1,\dots,t_s]$-module (Corollary 4);
Pseudo-nullity: let $s \geq 2$, $s \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$, then H_s is a finitely generated and torsion $\mathbb{F}_q[t_1,\dots,t_s]$-modules (Proposition 8 and Theorem 8).
These latter two results have interesting consequences on the behaviour of the isotypic components of Taelman's class modules for the Carlitz module. More precisely, let $s \geq 2$ be an integer, let χ be a Dirichlet character of type s and conductor f (see section 1). There exist $\zeta_1(\chi),\dots,\zeta_s(\chi) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ such that:

$$\sigma(g(\chi)) = (\zeta_1(\chi)-\theta)\cdots(\zeta_s(\chi)-\theta)g(\chi),$$

where $g(\chi)$ is the Gauss-Thakur sum associated to χ, $\sigma = \tau \otimes 1$ on $O_f \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_1(\chi),\dots,\zeta_s(\chi))$, where O_f is the ring of integers of the fth cyclotomic function field. Let $\exp_C$ be the Carlitz exponential (see [13], chapter 3), and let's consider the χ-isotypic component of Taelman's class module (see [6] section 9.3, [8] and [29]) :

$$H_\chi := e_\chi\Big(\frac{\mathrm{Frac}(O_f)\otimes_K K_\infty}{O_F + \exp_C(\mathrm{Frac}(O_f)\otimes_K K_\infty)} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_1(\chi),\dots,\zeta_s(\chi))\Big),$$

where $e_\chi \in \mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_1(\chi),\dots,\zeta_s(\chi))[\mathrm{Gal}(\mathrm{Frac}(O_f)/K)]$ is the usual idempotent associated to χ. We prove that there exists $F(t_1,\dots,t_s)\in\mathbb{F}_q[t_1,\dots,t_s]\setminus\{0\}$ (depending on the module structure of H_s) such that for every Dirichlet character χ of type s verifying $F(\zeta_1(\chi),\dots,F(\zeta_s(\chi))\neq 0$, then, if $s\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$, H_χ is a cyclic $A[\zeta_1(\chi),\dots,\zeta_s(\chi)]$-module generated as a $A[\zeta_1(\chi),\dots,\zeta_s(\chi)]$-module by $\frac{g(\chi)}{\theta^{\frac{s-q}{q-1}}}$ (Theorem 7) and if $s\not\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$ we have $H_\chi=\{0\}$ (Corollary 5).

The authors thank David Goss and Federico Pellarin for comments on an earlier version of this work that enable us to improve the content of this article. The authors are indebted to David Goss for fruitful discussions that led us to find explicit formulas for the value at one of v-adic Dirichlet L-series for finite places v of K.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Background on entire functions.**

Let F be a local field of characteristic p containing a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ having q elements. Let O_F be the valuation ring of F, and let π_F be a generator of the maximal ideal of O_F. Let $v: F\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\cup\{+\infty\}$ be the discrete valuation on F such that $v(\pi_F)=1$. Let $\mathbb{C}_F$ be the completion of a fixed algebraic closure $\overline{F}$ of F, and let $v:\mathbb{C}_F\rightarrow\mathbb{Q}\cup\{+\infty\}$ be the valuation on $\mathbb{C}_F$ such that $v(\pi_F)=1$. Let $\tau:\mathbb{C}_F\rightarrow\mathbb{C}_F, x\mapsto x^q$.

Let $n\geq 1$ be an integer, let $t_1,\dots,t_n$ be n indeterminates over $\mathbb{C}_F$. We set $\mathbb{C}_F[\underline{t}_n]=\mathbb{C}_F[t_1,\dots,t_n]$, and if $\underline{i}=(i_1,\dots,i_n)\in\mathbb{N}^n$, we set $\underline{t}_n^{\underline{i}}=t_1^{i_1}\cdots t_n^{i_n}$ and $\mid \underline{i}\mid = i_n+\cdots+i_n$. Let $f\in\mathbb{C}_F[\underline{t}_n]$, $f=\sum_{\underline{i}\in\mathbb{N}^n} f_{\underline{i}}\underline{t}^{\underline{i}}$, $f_{\underline{i}}\in\mathbb{C}_F$. We set :

$$v(f)=\mathrm{Inf}\{v(f_{\underline{i}}),\underline{i}\in\mathbb{N}^n\}.$$

Then v induces a valuation on $\mathbb{C}_F(\underline{t}_n)$ and we call it the v-adic Gauss valuation on $\mathbb{C}_F(\underline{t}_n)$.

Let $\mathbb{T}_n$ be the Tate algebra in the variables $t_1,\dots,t_n$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}_F$ (see [12], chapter 3), i.e. $\mathbb{T}_n$ is the completion of $\mathbb{C}_F[\underline{t}_n]$ for the v-adic Gauss valuation. Then $\mathbb{T}_n$ is the set of elements $f=\sum_{\underline{i}\in\mathbb{N}^n} f_{\underline{i}}\underline{t}_n^{\underline{i}}\in\mathbb{C}_F[[\underline{t}_n]]$, $f_{\underline{i}}\in\mathbb{C}_F$ for all $\underline{i}\in\mathbb{N}^n$, such that :

$$\lim_{|\underline{i}|\rightarrow+\infty} v(f_{\underline{i}})=+\infty.$$

More generally, for $r\in\mathbb{Q}$, we define $\mathbb{T}_{n,r}$ to be the set of elements $f=\sum_{\underline{i}\in\mathbb{N}^n} f_{\underline{i}}\underline{t}_n^{\underline{i}}\in\mathbb{C}_F[[\underline{t}_n]]$, $f_{\underline{i}}\in\mathbb{C}_F$ for all $\underline{i}\in\mathbb{N}^n$, such that :

$$\lim_{|\underline{i}|\rightarrow+\infty} v(f_{\underline{i}})+r\mid\underline{i}\mid=+\infty.$$

Thus $\mathbb{T}_{n,0}=\mathbb{T}_n$, and $\mathbb{T}_{n,r}$ is the set of elements $f\in\mathbb{C}_F[[\underline{t}_n]]$ that converge on $\{\underline{\zeta}\in\mathbb{C}_F^n, v(\underline{\zeta})\geq r\}$. Observe that if $r,r'\in\mathbb{Q}$, if $r'\leq r$ then $\mathbb{T}_{n,r'}\subset\mathbb{T}_{n,r}$. For $f=\sum_{\underline{i}\in\mathbb{N}^n} f_{\underline{i}}\underline{t}_n^{\underline{i}}\in\mathbb{T}_{n,r}$, $f_{\underline{i}}\in\mathbb{C}_F$, we set :

$$v_r(f)=\mathrm{Inf}\{v(f_{\underline{i}})+r\mid\underline{i}\mid,\underline{i}\in\mathbb{N}^n\},$$

and $v=v_0$. For $r\in\mathbb{Q}$, we set:

$$\mathbb{T}_{n,r}(F)=\mathbb{T}_{n,r}\cap F[[\underline{t}_n]].$$

Let $r\in\mathbb{Q}$ and let $\zeta\in\mathbb{C}_F^*$ such that $v(\zeta)=-r$. Then the map :

$$\psi:\mathbb{T}_{n,r}\rightarrow\mathbb{T}_n, f(\underline{t}_n)\mapsto f(t_1\zeta,\dots,t_n\zeta),$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}_F$-algebras such that :

$$v(\psi(f)) = v_r(f).$$

Let $\tau : \mathbb{C}_F[[\underline{t}_n]] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_F[[\underline{t}_n]]$ be the isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_q[[\underline{t}_n]]$-algebras such that :

$$\tau(\sum_{\underline{i}\in\mathbb{N}^n} f_{\underline{i}}\underline{t}_n^{\underline{i}}) = \sum_{\underline{i}\in\mathbb{N}^n} f_{\underline{i}}^q \underline{t}_n^{\underline{i}},\ f_{\underline{i}} \in \mathbb{C}_F.$$

Observe that, for $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, we have :

$$\tau(\mathbb{T}_{n,r}) = \mathbb{T}_{n,qr}.$$

We set :

$$\mathbb{E}_n = \cap_{r\in\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{T}_{n,r} \subset \mathbb{T}_n,$$

and :

$$\mathbb{E}_n(F) = \mathbb{E}_n \cap F[[\underline{t}_n]].$$

Then $\mathbb{E}_n$ is the set of elements $f = \sum_{\underline{i}\in\mathbb{N}^n} f_{\underline{i}}\underline{t}_n^{\underline{i}} \in \mathbb{C}_F[[\underline{t}_n]]$, $f_{\underline{i}} \in \mathbb{C}_F$ for all $\underline{i} \in \mathbb{N}^n$, such that :

$$\lim_{|\underline{i}|\rightarrow+\infty} \frac{v(f_{\underline{i}})}{\mid \underline{i} \mid} = +\infty.$$

We call the $\mathbb{C}_F$-algebra $\mathbb{E}_n$ *the algebra of entire functions* on $\mathbb{C}_F^n$. Observe that $\mathbb{C}_\infty[\underline{t}_n] \subsetneq \mathbb{E}_n \subsetneq \mathbb{T}_n$, and $\tau(\mathbb{E}_n) = \mathbb{E}_n$. Set $\mathbb{E}_0 = \mathbb{C}_F$. Let $n \geq 1$. Observe that $\mathbb{E}_n$ is the set of elements $f = \sum_{j\geq 0} f_j t_n^j \in \mathbb{E}_{n-1}[[t_n]], f_j \in \mathbb{E}_{n-1}$, such that :

$$\lim_{j\rightarrow+\infty} \frac{v(f_j)}{j} = +\infty.$$

We recall the following basic result :

Lemma 1.
Let $S \subset \mathbb{C}_F$ be a bounded infinite set, i.e. there exists $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\forall x \in S, v(x) \geq M$. Let $f \in \mathbb{E}_n$ that vanishes on S^n, then $f = 0$.

Proof. We prove the assertion by induction on $n \geq 1$. The result is true for $n = 1$ by [13], proposition 2.13. Now, let $n \geq 2$ and assume that the result is proved for $n-1$. Let $f \in \mathbb{E}_n$. Write :

$$f = \sum_{j\geq 0} f_j t_n^j,\ f_j \in \mathbb{E}_{n-1}, j \geq 0.$$

Let $\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{n-1} \in S^{n-1}$ and set $g(t_n) = f(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{n-1}, t_n) \in \mathbb{C}_F[[t_n]]$. Then g is an entire function which vanishes on S. Thus $g = 0$. Therefore $f = 0$ by the induction hypothesis. □

Lemma 2. *Let $r \in \mathbb{Q}, r \leq 0$. Let $F = \sum_{i\geq 0} F_i\tau^i \in \mathbb{T}_{n,r}\{\{\tau\}\}$, $F_i \in \mathbb{T}_{n,r}$, such that:*

$$\lim_{i\rightarrow+\infty} \frac{v_r(F_i)}{q^i} = +\infty.$$

Then $F(\mathbb{T}_{n,r}) \subset \mathbb{T}_{n,r}$.

Proof. Observe that v_r is a valuation on $\mathbb{T}_{n,r}$ and that $\mathbb{T}_{n,r}$ is complete with respect to the topology defined by this valuation. Let $f \in \mathbb{T}_{n,r}$ then:

$$\forall k \geq 0, v_r(\tau^k(f)) \geq q^k v_r(f).$$

Thus:

$$\lim_{k\rightarrow+\infty} v_r(F_k\tau^k(f))=+\infty.$$

□

Let $T\in\mathbb{C}_F$ such that $v(T)=-1$. We set $A_T=\mathbb{F}_q[T]$, $D_{0,T}=1$ and for $i\geq 1$: $D_{i,T}=(T^{q^i}-T)D_{i-1,T}^q$.

Proposition 1. *For all* $i\geq 0$, *let* $a_i\in\mathbb{C}_F[\underline{t}_n]$ *such that there exists a constant* $c\leq 0$ *with* $\forall i\geq 0$, $v(a_i)\geq cq^i$, *and there exists a constant* $c'\geq 0$ *such that the total degree (in* $\underline{t}_n$*) of* a_i *is bounded by* q^ic'. *Let* $F=\sum_{i\geq 0}\frac{a_i}{D_{i,T}}\tau^i\in\mathbb{E}_n\{\{\tau\}\}$.
i) $\forall r\in\mathbb{Q}, r\leq 0$, $F(\mathbb{T}_{n,r})\subset\mathbb{T}_{n,r}$. *In particular* $F(\mathbb{E}_n)\subset\mathbb{E}_n$.
ii) Let $r<0$. $\{f\in\mathbb{T}_{n,r}, F(f)\in\mathbb{E}_n\}=\mathbb{E}_n$.

Proof. For $i\geq 0$, we set :

$$F_i=\frac{a_i}{D_{i,T}}.$$

Let $r\leq 0$. We have:

$$v_r(F_i)\geq iq^i+cq^i+rq^ic'.$$

Therefore :

$$\lim_{i\rightarrow+\infty}\frac{v_r(F_i)}{q^i}=+\infty.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2, for all $r\leq 0$, $F(\mathbb{T}_{s,r})\subset\mathbb{T}_{s,r}$.
Let $r\in\mathbb{Q}, r<0$. Let $f\in\mathbb{T}_{n,r}$ such that $F(f)\in\mathbb{E}_n$. We have to prove that $f\in\mathbb{E}_n$. Assume that this is not the case. Then we could find $r'<r<0$ such that $f\in\mathbb{T}_{n,r'}\setminus\mathbb{T}_{n,qr'}$. But observe that :

$$\sum_{i\geq 1}F_i\tau^i(f)\in\mathbb{T}_{n,qr'}.$$

Thus, we would get :

$$f=F(f)-\sum_{i\geq 1}F_i\tau^i(f)\in\mathbb{T}_{n,qr'},$$

which is absurd. □

We finish this section by the following Lemma which will be used in the sequel :

Lemma 3. *Let* $a\in A_T[\underline{t}_n]$ *which is monic as a polynomial in* T. *Then, there exists* $r\in\mathbb{Q}, r<0$, *such that* $\frac{1}{a}\in\mathbb{T}_{n,r}$.

Proof. Write :

$$a=T^k+b,$$

where $k\in\mathbb{N}$, and $b\in A_T[\underline{t}_n]$ and $v(b)>-k$. Let $d\geq 0$ be the total degree of b in $\underline{t}_n$. Let $r\in\mathbb{Q}, r<0$. Then for $m\geq 0$:

$$v_r(\frac{b^m}{T^{km}})\geq m+rmd.$$

Let's choose now $\frac{-1}{d}<r<0$. Then :

$$\lim_{m\rightarrow+\infty} v_r(\frac{b^m}{T^{km}})=+\infty.$$

Therefore $\frac{1}{a}=\sum_{m\geq 0}(-1)^m\frac{b^m}{T^{k(m+1)}}$ converges in $\mathbb{T}_{n,r}$. □

2.2. **Gauss-Thakur sums.**

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field having q elements, θ be an indeterminate over $\mathbb{F}_q$, $A = \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$, A_+ be the set of monic elements in A, $A_{+,k}$ be the set of elements in A_+ of degree $k \geq 0$, $K = \mathbb{F}_q(\theta)$. Let ∞ be the unique place of K which is a pole of θ. Let $K_\infty = \mathbb{F}_q((\frac{1}{\theta}))$ and let $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ be the completion of a fixed algebraic closure of K_∞. Let $v_\infty : \mathbb{C}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be the valuation on $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ normalized such that $v_\infty(\theta) = -1$. Let $\overline{K}$ be the algebraic closure of K in $\mathbb{C}_\infty$, and let $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$ in $\mathbb{C}_\infty$.

Let $\exp_C$ be the Carlitz exponential (see [13], chapter 3). For every $f \in A \setminus \{0\}$, we set $\lambda_f = \exp_C(\frac{\widetilde{\pi}}{f}) \in \overline{K}$, where :

$$\widetilde{\pi} = \sqrt[q-1]{-\theta}\, \theta \prod_{j \geq 1} (1 - \theta^{1-q^j})^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}_\infty.$$

We set $\Delta_f = \mathrm{Gal}(K(\lambda_f)/K)$. We refer the reader to [28], chapter 12, for the basic properties of the abelian extension $K(\lambda_f)/K$. For $a \in A$, a relatively prime to f, we denote by σ_a the element in Δ_f such that :

$$\sigma_a(\lambda_f) = C_a(\lambda_f),$$

where C is the Carlitz module (see [13], chapter 3).

Let P be a prime of A (i.e. a monic irreducible element in A) of degree $d \geq 1$. Let K_P be the P-adic completion of K and A_P be the valuation ring of K_P. Let $\mathbb{C}_P$ be the completion of a fixed algebraic closure of K_P and we fix once and for all a K-embedding of $\overline{K}$ in $\mathbb{C}_P$. Let v_P be the P-adic valuation on $\mathbb{C}_P$ normalized such that $v_P(P) = 1$. Let $O_{\mathbb{C}_P} = \{x \in \mathbb{C}_P, v_P(x) \geq 0\}$.

Let $sgn = sgn_P : O_{\mathbb{C}_P} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_q} \subset O_{\mathbb{C}_P}$ be the morphism of $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$-algebras such that :

$$\forall x \in O_{\mathbb{C}_P}, v_P(sgn(x) - x) > 0.$$

If $x \in O_{\mathbb{C}_P}^\times$, we write $x = sgn(x) < x >$, where $v_P(1- < x >) > 0$. We set $\zeta_P = sgn(\theta)$. Observe that :

$$P(\zeta_P) = 0.$$

A Dirichlet character, χ, is a morphism :

$$\chi : (\frac{A}{bA})^\times \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_q} \subset O_{\mathbb{C}_P},$$

for some squarefree $b \in A_+$. Let $\mathbb{F}_q(\chi)$ be the field obtained by adjoining to $\mathbb{F}_q$ the values of χ. If we view χ as a primitive character of conductor f, we extend χ into a map $\chi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q(\chi)$, by sending a to 0 if a is not prime to f, and $\chi(a) = \chi(a \pmod f)$ otherwise. We say that χ is of type 1, if $\chi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q(\chi)$ is a ring homomorphism. Observe that if χ is of type 1, then its conductor is a prime of A. Let χ_P be the Dirichlet character of type 1 and conductor P such that $\chi_P(\theta) = \zeta_P$. Let f be a prime of A and let χ be a Dirichlet character of type 1 and conductor f, recall that the Gauss-Thakur sum associated to χ is defined by (see [32]) :

$$\forall i \geq 0, g(\chi) = -\sum_{\sigma \in \Delta_f} \chi^{-1}(\sigma)\sigma(\lambda_f),$$

where we recall that $\Delta_f = \mathrm{Gal}(K(\lambda_f)/K)$. Now let χ be a primitive Dirichlet character of conductor $P_1 \cdots P_r$, where $P_1, \ldots, P_r$ are distinct primes of A. For $i = 1, \ldots, r$, let χ_i be a Dirichlet character of type 1 and conductor P_i, then :

$$\chi = \chi_1^{N_1} \cdots \chi_r^{N_r},$$

where $0 \leq N_j \leq q^{degP_j}-2$. Write, for $j=1,\ldots,r$, $N_j=\sum_{i=0}^{deg_{P_j}-1} n_{i,j}q^i$, $n_{i,j} \in \{0\ldots,q-1\}$. The Gauss-Thakur sum associated to χ is (see for example [5]) :

$$g(\chi)=\prod_{j=1}^{r}\prod_{i=0}^{degP_j-1} g(\chi_j^{q^i})^{n_{i,j}}.$$

The type of χ (see also [6]) is defined to be $\sum_{j=1}^{r}\sum_{i=0}^{degP_j-1} n_{i,j}$.
If χ is a Dirichlet character of conductor b, we have (see [5]):

$$g(\chi)g(\chi^{-1})=(-1)^{degb}b.$$

2.3. L-functions.

In this section, we recall the functional identities for certain s-variable L-series obtained in [5], [24]. Let $s \geq 0$ be an integer and $Z, t, y_1, \ldots, y_s$ be $s+2$ variables over $\mathbb{F}_q$. We set for $d \geq 1$:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}_{q^d}; y_1,\ldots,y_s; Z)=\sum_{a\in\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[\theta]_+}\frac{a(y_1)\cdots a(y_s)}{a(\frac{1}{Z})}\in\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[y_1,\ldots,y_s][[Z]]^\times.$$

We also set :

$$\omega(\mathbb{F}_{q^d};t;Z)=\prod_{j\geq 0}(1-tZ^{q^{dj}})^{-1}\in\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[t][[Z]]^\times,$$

and :

$$\pi(\mathbb{F}_{q^d};Z)=\prod_{j\geq 1}(1-Z^{q^{dj}-1})^{-1}\in\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[[Z]]^\times.$$

Proposition 2.
Let $d\in\mathbb{N}\setminus\{0\}$.
1) For $s=1$, set $y=y_1$. Then:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}_{q^d};y;Z)\,\omega(\mathbb{F}_{q^d};y;Z)}{\pi(\mathbb{F}_{q^d};Z)}=\frac{1}{1-yZ}.$$

2) Let $s\equiv 1 \pmod{q^d-1}$, $s\geq q^d$. Then there exists $B(\mathbb{F}_{q^d};y_1,\ldots,y_s;Z)\in\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[y_1,\ldots,y_s,Z]\cap(1+Z\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[y_1,\ldots,y_s][[Z]])$ such that :

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}_{q^d};y_1,\ldots,y_s;Z)\,\omega(\mathbb{F}_{q^d};y_1;Z)\cdots\omega(\mathbb{F}_{q^d};y_s;Z)}{\pi(\mathbb{F}_{q^d};Z)}=B(\mathbb{F}_{q^d};y_1,\ldots,y_s;Z).$$

Proof. It is enough to prove the result for $d=1$. Let $t, t_1, \cdots, t_s$ be $s+1$ indeterminates over $\mathbb{C}_\infty$, then set :

$$L(t_1,\ldots,t_s)=\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}_q;t_1,\ldots,t_s;\frac{1}{\theta})\in K_\infty[[t_1,\ldots,t_s]].$$

This is the L-function introduced in [24] and studied in [4], [5], [6], [14], [25], [26], [27]. Recall that $L(t_1,\ldots,t_s)$ defines an entire function on $\mathbb{C}_\infty^s$ ([5] Proposition 6). Let's set :

$$\pi_\infty=\pi(\mathbb{F}_q;\frac{1}{\theta})\in K_\infty^\times,$$

and :

$$\omega_\infty(t)=\omega(\mathbb{F}_q;t;\frac{1}{\theta})\in K_\infty[[t]].$$

Then :

$$\widetilde{\pi}=\lambda_\theta\,\theta\,\pi_\infty,$$

and the Anderson-Thakur function (see for example [4]), $\omega(t)$, is equal to :

$$\omega(t)=\lambda_\theta\,\omega_\infty(t).$$

Then assertion 1) is a consequence of [24] Theorem 1, and assertion 2) is a consequence of [5] Theorem 1 and [6] section 7.1.1. . □

Recall that we have (see for example [24]):

$$\omega(t)=\sum_{i\geq 0}\lambda_{\theta^{i+1}}t^i.$$

We will need the following Lemma in the sequel :

Lemma 4. *Let $\mathbb{T}$ be the Tate algebra in the variable t with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}_\infty$, (see* [12]*, chapter 3), we have the following equality in $\mathbb{T}$:*

$$\omega(t)=\sum_{j\geq 0}\lambda_{(\theta+1)^{j+1}}(t+1)^j.$$

Proof. Set :

$$F(t)=\sum_{j\geq 0}\lambda_{(\theta+1)^{j+1}}(t+1)^j\in\mathbb{T}^\times.$$

Let $\tau:\mathbb{T}\rightarrow\mathbb{T}$ be the continuous morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$-algebras such that :

$$\forall x\in\mathbb{C}_\infty,\tau(x)=x^q.$$

Recall that

$$\exp_C=\sum_{i\geq 0}\frac{1}{D_i}\tau^i,$$

where $D_0=1$, and for $i\geq 1: D_i=(\theta^{q^i}-\theta)D_{i-1}^q$. Then (see for example [24]):

$$\omega(t)=\exp_C(\frac{\widetilde{\pi}}{\theta-t}).$$

Thus :

$$\omega(t)=\exp_C(\frac{\widetilde{\pi}}{(\theta+1)-(t+1)})=F(t).$$

□

2.4. P-adic interpolation.

Let P be a prime of A of degree $d\geq 1$, and let $z,t_1,\ldots,t_s$ be $s+1$ indeterminates over $\mathbb{C}_P$. We set :

$$L_P(t_1,\ldots,t_s;z)=\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{a\in A_{+,k},a\not\equiv 0\pmod{P}}\frac{a(t_1)\cdots a(t_s)}{a}z^k\in A_P[t_1,\ldots,t_s][[z]].$$

Theorem 1. *$L_P(t_1,\ldots,t_s;z)$ defines an entire function on $\mathbb{C}_P^{s+1}$.*

Proof. The proof of the above Theorem is essentially a consequence of [3] section 3.3 and the proof of [5] Proposition 6. Set :

$$V_k(t_1,\ldots,t_s)=\sum_{a\in A_{+,k},a\not\equiv 0\pmod{P}}\frac{a(t_1)\cdots a(t_s)}{a},$$

and :

$$S_k(t_1,\ldots,t_s)=\sum_{a\in A_{+,k}}a(t_1)\cdots a(t_s).$$

Let v_P be the P-adic Gauss valuation on $K_P(t_1,\dots t_s)$. By [5] Lemma 4, if $s < k(q-1)$, we have $S_k(t_1,\dots,t_s)=0$. Now, let $n\geq 1$ be an integer, then :

$$\forall a\in A\setminus PA, v_P(\frac{1}{a}-a^{q^{dn}(q^d-1)-1})\geq q^{dn}.$$

Thus:

$$v_P(V_k(t_1,\dots t_s)-\sum_{a\in A_{+,k}}a(t_1)\cdots a(t_s)a^{q^{dn}(q^d-1)-1})\geq q^{dn}.$$

But , if $k(q-1)>s+nd(q-1)+q^d-2$, we have :

$$\sum_{a\in A_{+,k}}a(t_1)\cdots a(t_s)a^{q^{dn}(q^d-1)-1}=0.$$

Thus if $k(q-1)>s+nd(q-1)+q^d-2$, we have :

$$v_P(V_k(t_1,\dots,t_s))\geq q^{nd}.$$

□

Proposition 3. *Let $s\geq 1$. We have $L_P(t_1,\dots,t_s;z)\mid_{z=1}=0$ if and only if $s\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$.*

Proof. Let's set :

$$F(t_1,\dots,t_s)=L_P(t_1,\dots,t_s;z)\mid_{z=1}.$$

Then by Theorem 1, $F(t_1,\dots,t_s)$ defines an entire function on $\mathbb{C}_P^s$. Let $i_1,\dots,i_s\in\mathbb{N}$. Then, if $s\not\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$, we have :

$$F(\theta^{q^{i_1}},\dots,\theta^{q^{i_s}})=(1-P^{q^{i_1}+\dots+q^{i_s}-1})\beta(q^{i_1}+\dots+q^{i_s}-1)\neq 0,$$

where $\beta(i)$ denotes the ith Bernoulli-Goss number (see for example [15], [20]). Now, if $s\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$, we have :

$$F(\theta^{q^{i_1}},\dots,\theta^{q^{i_s}})=(1-P^{q^{i_1}+\dots+q^{i_s}-1})(\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{a\in A_{+,k}}a^{q^{i_1}+\dots+q^{i_s}-1}).$$

But, since $q^{i_1}+\dots+q^{i_s}\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$, we get ([13], section 8.13.) :

$$F(\theta^{q^{i_1}},\dots,\theta^{q^{i_s}})=0.$$

But $F(t_1,\dots,t_s)$ is an entire function, thus by Lemma 1, if $s\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$, we get that $F(t_1,\dots,t_s)=0$. □

If $s\not\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$, we set :

$$L_P(t_1,\dots,t_s)=L_P(t_1,\dots,t_s,z)\mid_{z=1},$$

and if $s\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$:

$$L_P(t_1,\dots,t_s)=\frac{d}{dz}L_P(t_1,\dots,t_s,z)\mid_{z=1}.$$

Note that, by Theorem 1, $L_P(t_1,\dots,t_s)$ defines an entire function on $\mathbb{C}_P^s$.

Proposition 4. *Let $s\geq 1$ be an integer. Let v_P be the Gauss P-adic valuation on $A_P[[t_1,\dots,t_s]]$. Then :*

$$v_P(L_P(t_1,\dots,t_s))=0.$$

Proof. We prove the assertion for $s \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$. Since $L_P(t_1, \ldots, t_s)$ is an entire function, we can evaluate it at $t_s = \theta$, we get

$$L_P(t_1, \ldots, t_s) \mid_{t_s=\theta} = \sum_{k\geq 0} \sum_{a\in A_{+,k}, a\not\equiv 0 \pmod P} a(t_1)\cdots a(t_s) \in \mathbb{F}_q[t_1, \ldots, t_s]$$

But $L_P(t_1, \ldots, t_s) \mid_{t_1=\theta,\ldots,t_s=\theta} = (1-P^{s-1})\beta(s-1)$ where $\beta(s-1) \neq 0$ is the $s-1$th Bernoulli-Goss number (see [20]). Thus, $L_P(t_1, \ldots, t_s) \mid_{t_s=\theta} \in \mathbb{F}_q[t_1, \ldots, t_s]\setminus\{0\}$, so that $v_P(L_P(t_1, \ldots, t_s)) = 0$.

The proof of the Theorem is similar for $s \geq 2$, $s \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$. It remains to treat the case $s = 1$. Recall that by Theorem 1, $L_P(t)$ is an entire function. Since $\beta(q-1) = 1$, we have :

$$L_P(\theta^q) = \sum_{k\geq 1} \sum_{a\in A_{+,k}, a\not\equiv 0 \pmod P} a^{q-1} = P^{q-1} - 1.$$

□

3. Anderson's P-adic logarithm

Let P be a prime of A of degree $d \geq 1$. In this section, we recall the construction of the P-adic logarithm of Anderson ([1], proposition 11) and we extend it to $O_{\mathbb{C}_P}$.

Let $\tau : \mathbb{C}_P \to \mathbb{C}_P$ be the isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebras given by :

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{C}_P, \tau(x) = x^q.$$

Let $C : A \to A\{\tau\}$ be the Carlitz module, i.e. C is the morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebras given by :

$$C_\theta = \tau + \theta.$$

For $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$, let $\Lambda_a \subset \overline{K}$ be the set of a-torsion points for the Carlitz module and set $\Lambda = \cup_{a\in A\setminus\{0\}}\Lambda_a$.

Lemma 5.
i) Let $n \geq 1$, then $K_P(\Lambda_{P^n-1}) = K_P(\mathbb{F}_{q^{dn}})$. Furthermore, let $\varphi \in \mathrm{Gal}(K_P(\mathbb{F}_{q^{dn}})/K_P)$ be the Frobenius morphism then :

$$\forall \lambda \in \Lambda_{P^n-1}, \varphi(\lambda) = C_P(\lambda).$$

ii) $K_P(\Lambda)$ is the maximal abelian extension of K_P.

Proof. This Lemma can be deduced from the work of David Hayes ([19]). For the convenience of the reader, we give a proof of it.
i) We observe that :

$$C_{P^n-1} \equiv \tau^{dn} - 1 \pmod{PA\{\tau\}}.$$

Thus, by Hensel's Lemma : $K_P(\Lambda_{P^n-1}) = K_P(\mathbb{F}_{q^{dn}})$. Furthermore $\varphi(\Lambda_{P^n-1}) = \Lambda_{P^n-1}$. Thus, the second assertion comes from the fact that if $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda_{P^n-1}$, $\lambda \neq \lambda'$, then $v_P(\lambda - \lambda') = 0$.
ii) Observe that C_P is a Lubin-Tate polynomial. Thus, assertion ii) is a consequence of i) and Lubin-Tate theory (see [23], chapter V). □

Recall that the Carlitz exponential is defined by :

$$\exp_C = \sum_{i\geq 0} \frac{1}{D_i}\tau^i \in K\{\{\tau\}\},$$

where $D_0 = 1$ and for $i \geq 1 : D_i = (\theta^{q^i} - \theta)D_{i-1}^q$. We have the following equality in $K\{\{\tau\}\}$:

$$\exp_C \theta = C_\theta \exp_C .$$

Furthermore, the Carlitz logarithm is defined by :

$$log_C = \sum_{i\geq 0} \frac{1}{\ell_i}\tau^i \in K\{\{\tau\}\},$$

where $\ell_0 = 1$, and for $i \geq 1 : \ell_i = (\theta - \theta^{q^i})\ell_{i-1}$. We also have the following equality in $K\{\{\tau\}\}$:

$$\exp_C log_C = log_C \exp_C = 1.$$

Lemma 6.
i) $\exp_C$ *converges on* $\{x \in \mathbb{C}_P, v_P(x) > \frac{1}{q^d-1}\}$. *Furthermore, for* $x \in \mathbb{C}_P$, $v_P(x) > \frac{1}{q^d-1}$, *we have* $v_P(\exp_C(x)) = v_P(x)$.
ii) log_C *converges on* $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}_P} = \{x \in \mathbb{C}_P, v_P(x) > 0\}$. *Furthermore, for* $x \in \mathbb{C}_P$, $v_P(x) > \frac{1}{q^d-1}$, *we have* $v_P(log_C(x) - x) > v_P(x)$.

Proof. Let $[x]$ denotes the integer part of $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The Lemma comes from the following facts :

$$\forall i \geq 0, v_P(\ell_i) = [\frac{i}{d}],$$

$$\forall i \geq 0, v_P(D_i) = \frac{q^i - q^{i-[\frac{i}{d}]d}}{q^d - 1}.$$

□

We set $\Lambda' = \cup_{n\geq 1}\Lambda_{P^n-1}$ and $\Lambda'' = \cup_{n\geq 1}\Lambda_{P^n} \subset \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}_P}$. We have a direct sum of A-modules $\Lambda = \Lambda' \oplus \Lambda''$. Observe that $O_{\mathbb{C}_P}$ has a structure of A-module via the Carlitz module (denoted by $C(O_{\mathbb{C}_P})$). Thus Lemma 5 implies that we have a direct sum of A-modules :

$$C(O_{\mathbb{C}_P}) = \Lambda' \oplus C(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}_P}).$$

Furthermore one can show that $C(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}_P})$ is an A_P-module but we will not need this fact.

Lemma 7. $\mathrm{Tor}_A C(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}_P}) = \Lambda''$ *and :*

$$\mathrm{Ker}(log_C : C(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}_P}) \to \mathbb{C}_P) = \Lambda''.$$

Proof. The first assertion is obvious by our previous discussions. Let $x \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}_P}$. Then there exists an integer $n \geq 0$ such that $v_P(C_{P^n}(x)) > \frac{1}{q^d-1}$. Thus, by Lemma 6, we have :

$$v_P(log_C(x)) = v_P(\frac{1}{P^n}log_C(C_{P^n}(x)) = v_P(C_{P^n}(x)) - n.$$

The Lemma follows easily. □

Now, let $x \in C(O_{\mathbb{C}_P})$, then there exists an integer $n \geq 1$, such that $v_P(C_{P^n-1}(x)) > 0$. We define the P-adic Anderson's logarithm of x (this is an analogue construction as that of the Iwasawa logarithm) as follows:

$$log_{C,P}(x) = \frac{1}{P^n - 1}log_C(C_{P^n-1}(x)).$$

Note that $log_{C,P}(x)$ is well-defined. We have immediately by Lemma 7:

Lemma 8. *The map $log_{C,P} : C(O_{\mathbb{C}_P}) \to \mathbb{C}_P$ is a morphism of A-modules and its kernel is exactly Λ.*

4. Non-vanishing of David Goss P-adic Dirichlet L-functions

Let P be a prime of A of degree d. Let χ be a Dirichlet character of type 1 and conductor f. Recall that :

$$g(\chi) = -\sum_{\sigma\in\Delta_f} \chi^{-1}(\sigma)\sigma(\lambda_f).$$

Let $\sigma = \tau\otimes 1$ on $A[\lambda_f]\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q}\mathbb{F}_q(\chi)$, then :

$$\sigma(g(\chi)) = (\chi(\theta)-\theta)g(\chi).$$

Now, let χ be a Dirichlet character of type $s\geq 0$ and conductor f, then the above equation and the definition of $g(\chi)$ imply that there exist $\zeta_1,\cdots,\zeta_s\in\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ such that:

$$\sigma(g(\chi)) = (\zeta_1-\theta)\cdots(\zeta_s-\theta)g(\chi),$$

where $\sigma = \tau\otimes 1$ on $A[\lambda_f]\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q}\mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_1,\dots,\zeta_s)$. Furthermore, one sees easily that if $a\in A$ is relatively prime to f, then :

$$\chi(a) = \chi(\sigma_a) = a(\zeta_1)\cdots a(\zeta_s).$$

By Theorem 1, we can set :

$$L_P(1,\chi) = L_P(t_1,\dots,t_s)\mid_{t_1=\zeta_1,\dots,t_s=\zeta_s}\in A_P[\chi].$$

Observe that is $s\not\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$, we have :

$$L_P(1,\chi) = \sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{a\in A_{+,k}, a\not\equiv 0 \pmod{P}} \frac{\chi(a)}{a},$$

where χ is viewed as defined modulo its conductor and $\chi(a)=0$ if a is not relatively prime to f, and if $s\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$:

$$L_P(1,\chi) = \sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{a\in A_{+,k}, a\not\equiv 0 \pmod{P}} k\frac{\chi(a)}{a}.$$

Our aim in this section is to prove the following Theorem :

Theorem 2. *Let χ be a Dirichlet character of type s and assume $q\geq 3$ if $s=0$. Then :*

$$L_P(1,\chi)\neq 0.$$

Note that the case of characters of conductor P and $s\not\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$ is treated in [8] and the non-vanishing result uses Vincent Bosser's P-adic Baker Brumer Theorem (see the appendix of [8]). In what follows, we propose a new approach which does not use the P-adic Baker-Brumer Theorem. The proof of Theorem 2 is quite technical and we first need some preliminary results.
Let $s\geq 0$ be an integer. We will now work in $K[t_1,\dots,t_s][[z]]$. Let

$$\tau : K[t_1,\dots,t_s][[z]]\to K[t_1,\dots,t_s][[z]]$$

be the continuous morphism (for the z-adic topology) of $\mathbb{F}_q[t_1,\dots,t_s][[z]]$-algebras such that

$$\forall x\in K, \tau(x) = x^q.$$

Set :

$$log_{s,z}=\sum_{k\geq 0}\frac{b_i(t_1)\cdots b_i(t_s)}{\ell_k}z^k\tau^k,$$

where for $j=1,\ldots,s$, $b_0(t_j)=1$ and for $i\geq 1: b_i(t_j)=\prod_{k=0}^{i-1}(t_j-\theta^{q^k})$. Then, Corollary 5.12 in [6] implies that there exists $u(t_1,\ldots,t_s;z)\in A[t_1,\ldots,t_s,z]$ such that we have the following equality in $K[t_1,\ldots,t_s][[z]]$:

$$\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{a\in A_{+,k}}\frac{a(t_1)\cdots a(t_s)}{a}z^k=log_{s,z}u(t_1,\ldots,t_s;z).$$

We have the following properties for the "Anderson-Stark units" $u(t_1,\ldots,t_s;z)$:

Lemma 9.
i) If $0\leq s\leq q-1$, $u(t_1,\ldots,t_s;z)=1$.
ii) If $s\geq q$, $s\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$, $u(t_1,\ldots,t_s;z)\mid_{z=1}=0$.
iii) If $s\geq 1$, *we have :*

$$u(t_1,\ldots,t_s;z)\mid_{t_s=\theta}=\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{a\in A_{+,k}}a(t_1)\cdots a(t_{s-1})z^k.$$

In particular if $s\geq q, s\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$:

$$\frac{d}{dz}(u(t_1,\ldots,t_s;z))\mid_{z=1}\neq 0.$$

Proof. Let's set :

$$\exp_{s,z}=\sum_{i\geq 0}\frac{b_i(t_1)\cdots b_i(t_s)}{D_i}z^i\tau^i.$$

We work in the Tate algebra in the variables $t_1,\ldots,t_s,z$ with coefficients in K_∞. Set :

$$L_{s,z}=\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{a\in A_{+,k}}\frac{a(t_1)\cdots a(t_s)}{a}z^k.$$

Then by [6], Corollary 5.12 , we have :

$$u(t_1,\ldots,t_s;z)=\exp_{s,z}(L_{s,z}).$$

Furthermore, by [6] Lemma 3.9 and [5] Proposition 6, this is an equality between entire functions in the variables $t_1,\ldots,t_s,z$. Thus we get the first assertion of iii) . Fo the second assertion of iii), observe that :

$$\frac{d}{dz}(u(t_1,\ldots,t_s;z))\mid_{z=1,t_1=\theta,\ldots,t_s=\theta}=\beta(s-1)\neq 0,$$

where $\beta(i)$ denotes the ith Bernoulli-Goss number.
For ii), it is a consequence of [6], section 7. For i), observe that :

$$v_\infty(\frac{b_i(t_1)\cdots b_i(t_s)}{D_i}z^i)=iq^i-s\frac{q^i-1}{q-1}.$$

Therefore, if $0\leq s\leq q-1$, we get :

$$v_\infty(\exp_{s,z}(L_{s,z})-1)\geq 1.$$

Since $u(t_1,\ldots,t_s,z)\in A[t_1,\ldots,t_s,z]$, we get i). □

Proposition 5.
Let $s \geq q$. Write $s = q + r + \ell(q-1)$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \{0, \dots, q-2\}$. Then $u(t_1, \dots, t_s; z)$ viewed as a polynomial in θ is of degree $s(\frac{q^\ell - 1}{q-1}) - \ell q^\ell$ if $r = 0$ and $s(\frac{q^{\ell+1}-1}{q-1}) - (\ell + 1)q^{\ell+1}$ if $r \neq 0$. Furthermore the leading coefficient of $u(t_1, \dots, t_s; z)$ viewed as a polynomial in θ is :

$$\text{if } r = 0, (-1)^\ell z^\ell (1-z).$$

$$\text{if } r \neq 0, (-1)^{s(\ell+1)} z^{\ell+1}.$$

Proof. We prove the result for $r = 0$, the proof of the Proposition being similar in the remaining cases. We will use freely the results in [6]. Let $s \geq q$, $s \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$. Let ϕ be the Drinfeld module defined on the Tate algebra in the variables $t_1, \dots, t_s, z$ with coefficients in K_∞ such that $\phi_\theta = z(t_1 - \theta) \cdots (t_s - \theta)\tau + \theta$. Recall that :

$$u := u(t_1, \dots, t_s; z) = \exp_\phi L,$$

where :

$$L = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{a \in A_{+,k}} \frac{a(t_1) \cdots a(t_s)}{a} z^k.$$

In particular $v_\infty(L - 1) \geq 1$. Recall that :

$$\exp_\phi = \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{b_i(t_1) \cdots b_i(t_s) z^i}{D_i} \tau^i.$$

We say that an element f in $\mathbb{F}_q(t_1, \dots, t_s, z)((\frac{1}{\theta}))$ is " monic" if there exists an integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that :

$$v_\infty(f - \theta^m) > v_\infty(f).$$

For $i \geq 0$ observe that $\tau^i(L)$ and $\frac{b_i(t_1) \cdots b_i(t_s)}{D_i}$ are almost monic. Recall that :

$$\forall i \geq 0, v_\infty(\frac{b_i(t_1) \cdots b_i(t_s) z^i}{D_i} \tau^i(L)) = iq^i - s(\frac{q^i - 1}{q-1}).$$

Set $\alpha_i = (-1)^{si} \frac{b_i(t_1) \cdots b_i(t_s)}{D_i} \tau^i(L)$, then α_i is monic. We have:

$$u = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^{si} \alpha_i z^i.$$

For $i \geq 0$, we have :

$$((i+1)q^{i+1} - s(\frac{q^{i+1} - 1}{q-1})) - (iq^i - s(\frac{q^i - 1}{q-1})) = q^i(q + i(q-1) - s).$$

Write $s = q + \ell(q-1)$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. We get :

$$v_\infty(u - ((-1)^{s\ell} \alpha_\ell z^\ell + (-1)^{s(\ell+1)} \alpha_{\ell+1} z^{\ell+1})) > v_\infty(u).$$

But α_ℓ and $\alpha_{\ell+1}$ are monic, thus:

$$v_\infty(u - (z^\ell (1-z)(-1)^{s\ell} \theta^{\ell q^\ell - s(\frac{q^\ell - 1}{q-1})}) > v_\infty(u).$$

This proves the assertion. $\square$

Let χ be a Dirichlet character of type s and conductor f. Recall that there exists $\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_s \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ such that :

$$\sigma(g(\chi)) = (\zeta_1 - \theta) \cdots (\zeta_s - \theta) g(\chi),$$

where $\sigma = \tau \otimes 1$ on $A[\lambda_f] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_s)$. We set :

$$u_\chi(z) = g(\chi) u(t_1, \ldots, t_s; z) \mid_{t_1=\zeta_1, \ldots, t_s=\zeta_s} \in g(\chi)\mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_s)[z].$$

Let $[\chi] = \{\chi^{q^i}, i \geq 0\}$. Observe that for $\psi \in [\chi]$, ψ is of type s and conductor f. We set :

$$u_{[\chi]}(z) = \sum_{\psi \in [\chi]} u_\psi(z) \in A[\lambda_f][z],$$

and :

$$u_{[\chi]}^{(1)}(z) = \frac{d}{dz} u_{[\chi]}(z).$$

Theorem 3. *Let χ be a Dirichlet character of type s and conductor f.*
1) If $s \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$, then :

$$L_P(1, \chi) g(\chi) = (1 - P^{-1}\chi(P)) \frac{1}{\mid \Delta_f \mid} \sum_{\mu \in \Delta_f} \chi^{-1}(\mu) log_{C,P}(\mu(u_{[\chi]}(1))).$$

2) If $s \geq q$, $s \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$, then :

$$L_P(1, \chi) g(\chi) = (1 - P^{-1}\chi(P)) \frac{1}{\mid \Delta_f \mid} \sum_{\mu \in \Delta_f} \chi^{-1}(\mu) log_{C,P}(\mu(u_{[\chi]}^{(1)}(1))).$$

3) If $s = 1$, we have :

$$L_P(1, \chi) g(\chi) = (1 - P^{-1}\chi(P)) \frac{1}{\mid \Delta_f \mid} \frac{1}{\theta - \chi(\theta)} \sum_{\mu \in \Delta_f} \chi^{-1}(\mu) log_{C,P}(\mu(\lambda_f^q)).$$

Proof. We first work in $K[t_1, \ldots, t_s][[z]]$. Let $\tau : K[t_1, \ldots, t_s][[z]] \to K[t_1, \ldots, t_s][[z]]$ be the continuous morphism (for the z-adic topology) of $\mathbb{F}_q[t_1, \ldots, t_s][[z]]$-algebras such that $\forall x \in K, \tau(x) = x^q$. Set :

$$log_{s,z} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{b_i(t_1) \cdots b_i(t_s)}{\ell_k} z^k \tau^k.$$

Then recall that we have the following equality in $K[t_1, \ldots, t_s][[z]]$:

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{a \in A_{+,k}} \frac{a(t_1) \cdots a(t_s)}{a} z^k = log_{s,z} u(t_1, \ldots, t_s; z).$$

Let $\sigma = \tau \otimes 1$ on $K[\lambda_f] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_s)$. And set :

$$log_{\sigma,z} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{\ell_k} z^k \sigma^k.$$

If we specialize t_i in ζ_i and if we multiply the formula by $g(\chi)$, we have the following equality in $K[\lambda_f] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_s))[[z]]$:

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{a \in A_{+,k}} \frac{\chi(a)}{a} g(\chi) z^k = log_{\sigma,z} u_\chi(z).$$

Recall that $\Delta_f = \mathrm{Gal}(K(\lambda_f)/K)$, and that for $\mu \in \Delta_f$, we have :

$$\mu(g(\chi)) = \chi(\mu) g(\chi).$$

Now, set :

$$log_{C,z}=\sum_{k\geq 0}\frac{1}{\ell_k}z^k\tau^k.$$

We get the following equality in $K[\lambda_f][[z]]$ (τ acts trivially on z):

$$\sum_{\psi\in[\chi]}\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{a\in A_{+,k}}\frac{\psi(a)}{a}g(\psi)z^k=log_{C,z}u_{[\chi]}(z).$$

By the properties of the Gauss-Thakur sums, we deduce that :

$$\mid\Delta_f\mid\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{a\in A_{+,k}}\frac{\chi(a)}{a}g(\chi)z^k=\sum_{\mu\in\Delta_f}\chi^{-1}(\mu)log_{C,z}\mu(u_{[\chi]}(z)).$$

Therefore:

$$\mid\Delta_f\mid\ g(\chi)\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{a\in A_{+,k}}\frac{\chi(a)}{a}z^k=\sum_{\mu\in\Delta_f}\chi^{-1}(\mu)log_{C,z}\mu(u_{[\chi]}(z)).$$

And finally :

$$g(\chi)\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{\substack{a\in A_{+,k}\\ a\not\equiv 0\pmod P}}\frac{\chi(a)}{a}z^k=(1-P^{-1}\chi(P)z^d)\frac{1}{\mid\Delta_f\mid}\sum_{\mu\in\Delta_f}\chi^{-1}(\mu)log_{C,z}\mu(u_{[\chi]}(z)).$$

Recall that :

$$\theta log_{C,z}=log_{C,z}(z\tau+\theta).$$

Now, since $u_{[\chi]}(1)$ can be a P-adic unit, using the functional equation of $log_{C,z}$ if necessary, we get if χ is even (i.e. $s\not\equiv 1\pmod{q-1}$) :

$$L_P(1,\chi)g(\chi)=(1-P^{-1}\chi(P))\frac{1}{\mid\Delta_f\mid}\sum_{\mu\in\Delta_f}\chi^{-1}(\mu)log_{C,P}(\mu(u_{[\chi]}(1))).$$

Observe that $u_{[\chi]}(1)\in\exp_C(K(\lambda_f)\otimes_K K_\infty)$, i.e. $u_{[\chi]}(1)$ is a Taelman unit (see [29], [30]). More precisely, we have the following equality in $K(\lambda_f)\otimes_K K_\infty$:

$$\exp_C(\sum_{\psi\in[\chi]}L(1,\psi)g(\psi))=u_{[\chi]}(1),$$

where $\tau:K(\lambda_f)\otimes_K K_\infty\to K(\lambda_f)\otimes_K K_\infty,\alpha\mapsto\alpha^q$. Now, let's treat the case where χ is odd (i.e. $s\equiv 1\pmod{q-1}$). If $s\geq q$, by Lemma 9 we have $u_{[\chi]}(1)=0$. Using again the same technique, we get in this case:

$$L_P(1,\chi)g(\chi)=(1-P^{-1}\chi(P))\frac{1}{\mid\Delta_f\mid}\sum_{\mu\in\Delta_f}\chi^{-1}(\mu)log_{C,P}(\mu(u^{(1)}_{[\chi]}(1))).$$

Thus, it remains to treat the case $s=1$. First, by [32] Proposition I and Lemma 9:

$$u_{[\chi]}(z)=\lambda_f.$$

Therefore, in this case :

$$g(\chi)\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{\substack{a\in A_{+,k}\\ a\not\equiv 0\pmod P}}\frac{\chi(a)}{a}z^k=(1-P^{-1}\chi(P)z^d)\frac{1}{\mid\Delta_f\mid}\sum_{\mu\in\Delta_f}\chi^{-1}(\mu)log_{C,z}\mu(\lambda_f).$$

Now, select $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that f is relatively prime to $\theta + \lambda$. Then :

$$\sum_{\mu \in \Delta_f} \chi^{-1}(\mu) log_{C,z} \mu(\lambda_f) = \chi^{-1}(\theta+\lambda) \sum_{\mu \in \Delta_f} \chi^{-1}(\mu) log_{C,z} C_{\theta+\lambda}(\mu(\lambda_f)).$$

Thus $g(\chi)(\chi(\theta+\lambda) - (\theta+\lambda)) \sum_{k\geq 0} \sum_{a \in A_{+,k}, a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{P}} \frac{\chi(a)}{a} z^k$ is equal to:

$$(1 - P^{-1}\chi(P)z^d) \frac{1}{\mid \Delta_f \mid} \sum_{\mu \in \Delta_f} \chi^{-1}(\mu)(log_{C,z} C_{\theta+\lambda}(\mu(\lambda_f)) - (\theta+\lambda) log_{C,z} \mu(\lambda_f)).$$

But $s = 1$, thus $\chi(\theta + \lambda) = \chi(\theta) + \lambda$. Thus,

$$g(\chi)(\chi(\theta) - \theta) \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{a \in A_{+,k}, a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{P}} \frac{\chi(a)}{a} z^k$$

is equal to :

$$(1 - P^{-1}\chi(P)z^d) \frac{1}{\mid \Delta_f \mid} \sum_{\mu \in \Delta_f} \chi^{-1}(\mu)(log_{C,z} C_{\theta}(\mu(\lambda_f)) - \theta log_{C,z} \mu(\lambda_f)).$$

Set :

$$log^{(1)}_{C,z} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{k}{\ell_k} z^{k-1} \tau^k.$$

Then :

$$log^{(1)}_{C,z}(z\tau + \theta) - \theta log^{(1)}_{C,z} = -log_{C,z}\tau.$$

Therefore, for $s = 1$, we get :

$$g(\chi) L_P(1, \chi) = (1 - P^{-1}\chi(P)) \frac{1}{\mid \Delta_f \mid} \frac{1}{\theta - \chi(\theta)} \sum_{\mu \in \Delta_f} \chi^{-1}(\mu) log_{C,P}(\mu(\lambda_f^q)).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2 : We first treat the case where χ is even (i.e. $s \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$). Note that by Proposition 5, we have :

$$u_{[\chi]}(1) \neq 0.$$

Since χ is even this implies that $u_{[\chi]}(1)$ is not a torsion point for the Carlitz module, in particular :

$$log_{C,P}(u_{[\chi]}(1)) \neq 0.$$

But, by the proof of Theorem 3, we have :

$$log_{C,P}(u_{[\chi]}(1)) = \sum_{\psi \in [\chi]} (1 - \frac{\psi(P)}{P})^{-1} L_P(1, \psi) g(\psi).$$

This implies that there exists $\psi \in [\chi]$ such that :

$$L_P(1, \psi) \neq 0.$$

Thus, we have to prove that if $L_P(1, \chi) \neq 0$, then $L_P(1, \chi^{\frac{1}{q}}) \neq 0$. We are going to prove it by performing a change of variables.

Set $K^{(q)} = \mathbb{F}_q(\theta^q)$, observe that $K/K^{(q)}$ is totally ramified at every place of $K^{(q)}$. Let $C^{(q)}$ be the Carlitz module for $A^{(q)} := A^q = \mathbb{F}_q[\theta^q]$, i.e. $C^{(q)}{}_{\theta^q} = \tau + \theta^q$. Let $\widetilde{\lambda_f} = \exp_{C^{(q)}}(\frac{\widetilde{\pi}^{(q)}}{f(\theta^q)})$. Then :

$$K(\lambda_f) = K(\lambda^{(q)}_{f(\theta^q)}).$$

Furthermore, the restriction map induces an isomorphism of groups :

$$\Delta_f \simeq \Delta^{(q)}_{f(\theta^q)}.$$

This implies that :

$$\forall \sigma \in \Delta_f, \chi(\sigma) = \chi(\sigma^{(q)}).$$

Now $L_P(1,\chi) \neq 0$ implies that $L_P^{(q)}(1,\chi) \neq 0$. But :

$$L_P^{(q)}(1,\chi) = (L_P(1,\chi^{\frac{1}{q}}))^q.$$

Thus :

$$L_P(1,\chi^{\frac{1}{q}}) \neq 0.$$

Now, we turn to the case where χ is odd of type $\geq q$. Again, by Proposition 5, we get :

$$u^{(1)}_{[\chi]}(1) \neq 0.$$

Since χ is not of type 1, by [32] Proposition I, $u^{(1)}_{[\chi]}(1)$ is not a torsion point, in particular:

$$log_{C,P}(u^{(1)}_{[\chi]}(1)) \neq 0.$$

Now by the proof of Theorem 3:

$$log_{C,P}(u^{(1)}_{[\chi]}(1)) = \sum_{\psi \in [\chi]} (1 - \frac{\psi(P)}{P})^{-1} L_P(1,\psi) g(\psi).$$

We can conclude as in the even case. It remains to treat the case $s = 1$. Observe that λ_f^q is not a torsion point for the Carlitz module. Furthermore by Theorem 3, we have :

$$log_{C,P}(\lambda_f^q) = \sum_{\psi \in [\chi]} (\theta - \psi(\theta))(1 - \frac{\psi(P)}{P})^{-1} L_P(1,\psi) g(\psi).$$

Again, we can conclude as in the even case. This achieves the proof of Theorem 2.

5. The special function ω_P

Let P be a prime of A of degree $d \geq 1$. In this section, we extend [4], Theorem 2.9, to the P-adic case. Let's consider $\mathbb{C}_P[t, \frac{1}{P(t)}]$ equipped with the usual Gauss P-adic valuation v_P and let $\mathcal{A}$ be the completion of $\mathbb{C}_P[t, \frac{1}{P(t)}]$ for v_P. Instead of working in P-adic Tate algebras as in [6], we will work in the affinoid algebra $\mathcal{A}$ (see [12] chapter 3). Let $\tau : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ be the continuous morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q[t, \frac{1}{P(t)}]$-algebras such that :

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{C}_P, \tau(x) = x^q.$$

For $j = 0, \ldots, d-1$, we set (see section 2.2. for the definition of $\omega(\mathbb{F}_{q^d}; y; Z)$):

$$\omega_{j,P}(t) = \tau^j(\omega(\mathbb{F}_{q^d}; \frac{1}{t - \zeta_P}; \theta - \zeta_P)) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}[t, \frac{1}{P(t)}][[P]]^\times \subset \mathcal{A}.$$

We also set :

$$\omega_P(t)=\prod_{j=0}^{d-1}\omega_{j,P}(t).$$

Observe that :

$$\omega_P(t)=\prod_{j\geq 0}(1-\frac{(\theta-\zeta_P)^{q^j}}{t-\zeta_P^{q^j}})^{-1}.$$

And also :

$$\tau(\omega_P(t))=(\frac{t-\theta}{t-\zeta_P})\,\omega_P(t).$$

We fix $\gamma\in\mathbb{C}_P$ such that :

$$\gamma^{q^d-1}=\zeta_P-\theta.$$

Theorem 4. *Let χ be a Dirichlet character of type 1. Let f be the conductor of χ.*
1) If $f\neq P$. Then :

$$g(\chi)=sgn(g(\chi))(\omega_P\mid_{t=\chi(\theta)}).$$

Furthermore :

$$sgn(g(\chi))^{q^{degf}-1}=\prod_{j=0}^{degf-1}(\chi(\theta)-\zeta_P^{q^j}).$$

In particular :

$$sgn(g(\chi^{-1}))g(\chi)=(-1)^{\mathrm{deg} f}f(\zeta_P)(\omega_P\mid_{t=\chi(\theta)}).$$

2) If $f=P$, write $\chi=\chi_P^{q^i}$, $i\in\{0,\dots,d-1\}$. Then:

$$g(\chi)=\gamma^{q^i}sgn(\frac{g(\chi)}{\gamma^{q^i}})P'(\zeta_P)^{-q^i}(\prod_{j=0,j\neq i}^{d-1}\omega_{j,P})\mid_{t=\chi(\theta)}\,.$$

Furthermore :

$$sgn(\frac{g(\chi)}{\gamma^{q^i}})^{q^d-1}=P'(\zeta_P^{q^i}).$$

Proof.
1) Let $\zeta=\chi(\theta)$. We have :

$$\tau(g(\chi))=(\zeta^q-\theta)g(\chi^q).$$

Thus:

$$\tau^{degf}(g(\chi))=b_{degf}(\zeta)g(\chi).$$

Since $f\neq P$, $g(\chi)$ is a P-adic unit and this gives the second assertion of 1). Let $n=(q^{degf}-1)d\,degf$ then (see for example [28], Theorem 12.10.) :

$$\mathbb{F}_{q^d}(\zeta,sgn(\lambda_f))\subset\mathbb{F}_{q^n}.$$

We get for any $k\geq 0$:

$$g(\chi)^{q^{kn}}=\tau^{kn}(g(\chi))=b_{nk}(\zeta)g(\chi).$$

Now, observe that :

$$\lim_{k\rightarrow+\infty}g(\chi)^{q^{kn}}=sgn(g(\chi)).$$

Now we turn our attention to $b_{nk}(t)$:

$$b_{nk}(t)=\prod_{j=0}^{nk-1}(t-\theta^{q^k}).$$

Since d divides n, we can write the above product :

$$b_{nk}(t)=\prod_{i=0}^{nk/d-1}\prod_{j=0}^{d-1}(t-\zeta_P^{q^j}-(\theta^{q^j}-\zeta_P^{q^j})^{q^{di}}).$$

Thus:

$$b_{nk}(t)=P(t)^{nk/d}\prod_{i=0}^{nk/d-1}\prod_{j=0}^{d-1}(1-\frac{(\theta^{q^j}-\zeta_P^{q^j})^{q^{di}}}{t-\zeta_P^{q^j}}).$$

Observe that $P(\zeta)\in\mathbb{F}_{q^{degf}}^{\times}$. By our choice of n :

$$P(t)^{nk/d}\mid_{t=\zeta}=1.$$

Now, for $j=0,\ldots,d-1$, we have :

$$\omega_{j,P}(t)=\prod_{k\geq 0}(1-\frac{(\theta^{q^j}-\zeta_P^{q^j})^{q^{dk}}}{t-\zeta_P^{q^j}})^{-1}.$$

We get the first formula of 1). For the last assertion of 1), use the fact that :

$$g(\chi)g(\chi^{-1})=(-1)^{degf}f.$$

2) Now let $\chi=\chi_P^{q^i}$, $i\in\{0,\ldots,d-1\}$. Then as in the proof of part 1):

$$\tau^d(g(\chi))=b_d(\chi(\theta))g(\chi).$$

But:

$$b_d(\chi(\theta))=P'(\zeta_P^{q^i})(\zeta_P-\theta)^{q^i}\prod_{j=0,j\neq i}^{d-1}(1-\frac{(\theta-\zeta_P)^{q^j}}{\zeta_P^{q^i}-\zeta_P^{q^j}}).$$

Thus :

$$\tau^d(\frac{g(\chi)}{\gamma^{q^i}})=P'(\zeta_P^{q^i})(\prod_{j=0,j\neq i}^{d-1}(1-\frac{(\theta-\zeta_P)^{q^j}}{\zeta_P^{q^i}-\zeta_P^{q^j}}))\frac{g(\chi)}{\gamma^{q^i}}.$$

We conclude as in the proof of part 1). □

6. THE SPIEGELUNGSSATZ

Let P be a prime of A of degree $d\geq 1$. Our aim in this section is to show that the P-adic L-functions $L_P(t_1,\ldots,t_s), s\geq 1$, verify a particular kind of functional identity which can be seen as a Spiegelungssatz for P-adic L-series.

6.1. **The case** $s = 1$.

Let $\varepsilon_P = 1$ if $P = \theta$ and zero otherwise. Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{C}_P[[t+\varepsilon_P]]$ be the set of power series in $t+\varepsilon_P$ with bounded coefficients and let v_P be the Gauss P-adic valuation on $\mathcal{B}$. Let $\tau : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ be the continuous morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q[[t+\varepsilon_P]]$-algebras such that:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{C}_P, \tau(x) = x^q.$$

We set $\mathcal{B}^0 = O_{\mathbb{C}_P}[[t+\varepsilon_P]] \subset \mathcal{B}$. We extend the function $sgn : O_{\mathbb{C}_P} \to \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ into a continuous morphism of $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[[t+\varepsilon_P]]$-algebras :

$$sgn : \mathcal{B}^0 \to \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[[t+\varepsilon_P]].$$

We set :

$$\mathcal{B}^{00} = \operatorname{Ker} sgn.$$

Observe also that :

$$\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}.$$

We set :

$$\mu(t) = \sum_{j \geq 0} sgn(\lambda_{(\theta+\varepsilon_P)^{j+1}})(t+\varepsilon_P)^j.$$

Note that :

$$\mu(t) \in \mathcal{B}^0.$$

We have :

$$\tau(\mu(t)) = (t - \zeta_P)\mu(t).$$

Therefore :

$$\tau(\omega_P(t)\mu(t)) = (t-\theta)\omega_P(t)\mu(t),$$

and :

$$\omega_P(t)\mu(t) \in \mathcal{B}^0.$$

Lemma 10. *$\mu(t)$ is transcendental over* Frac($\mathcal{A}$). *In particular $\omega_P(t)\mu(t)$ is transcendental over* Frac($\mathcal{A}$).

Proof. Observe that, for all $n \geq 0$, we have :

$$\tau^{dn}(\mu(t)) = P(t)^n \mu(t).$$

This easily implies that $\mu(t)$ is transcendental over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(t)$. Set $\mathcal{A}^0 = \mathcal{B}^0 \cap \mathcal{A}$, then we have :

$$sgn(\mathcal{A}^0) = \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[t, \frac{1}{P(t)}].$$

If $\mu(t)$ was algebraic over Frac($\mathcal{A}$), there would exist $f(X) \in \mathcal{A}^0[X]$ with at least one coefficient of P-adic valuation zero such that $f(\mu(t)) = 0$. Since $sgn(\mu(t)) = \mu(t)$ we would get that $\mu(t)$ is algebraic over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(t)$ which is a contradiction. □

Lemma 11.
Let $\omega(t) = \sum_{j\geq 0} \lambda_{(\theta+\varepsilon_P)^{j+1}}(t+\varepsilon_P)^j \in \mathcal{B}^0$. Then we have the following equality in $\mathcal{B}^0$:

$$\omega_P(t)\mu(t) = \omega(t).$$

Proof. First observe that :

$$\tau(\omega(t)) = (t-\theta)\omega(t),$$

and :

$$\mu(t) = sgn(\omega(t)).$$

Thus by Lemma 5 :

$$\omega(t) = \mu(t)(1+Pf),$$

for some $f \in \mathcal{B}^0$. Therefore :

$$\frac{\omega(t)}{\mu(t)} \in (B^0)^\times.$$

We have :

$$\tau(\frac{\omega_P(t)\mu(t)}{\omega(t)}) = \frac{\omega_P(t)\mu(t)}{\omega(t)}.$$

Thus :

$$\frac{\omega_P(t)\mu(t)}{\omega(t)} \in \mathbb{F}_q[[t+\varepsilon_P]].$$

But :

$$v_P(\frac{\omega_P(t)\mu(t)}{\omega(t)} - 1) > 0.$$

The Lemma follows. □

Observe that by Lemma 4, $\omega(t)$ is the usual Anderson-Thakur function viewed as an element in $\mathcal{B}$.
Let $C^\dagger : A \to \mathcal{B}^0\{\tau\}$ be the morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebras given by :

$$C^\dagger_\theta = (t-\zeta_P)\tau + \theta.$$

Then we have the following equality in $\mathcal{B}^0\{\tau\}$:

$$C_\theta \mu(t) = \mu(t) C^\dagger_\theta.$$

We set :

$$log_{C^\dagger} = \sum_{i\geq 0} \frac{sgn(b_i(t))}{\ell_i}\tau^i \in \mathcal{B}\{\{\tau\}\}.$$

Then, we have the following equality in $\mathcal{B}\{\{\tau\}\}$:

$$log_{C^\dagger} C^\dagger_\theta = \theta log_{C^\dagger},$$

and :

$$\mu(t) log_{C^\dagger} = log_C \mu(t). \tag{2}$$

Now, we extend $log_{C,P} : O_{\mathbb{C}_P} \to \mathbb{C}_P$ into a morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q[[t+\varepsilon_P]]$-algebras $log_{C,P} : \mathcal{B}^0 \to \mathbb{C}_P[[t+\varepsilon_P]]$, by setting :

$$log_{C,P}(\sum_{i\geq 0}\alpha_i(t+\varepsilon_P)^i) = \sum_{i\geq 0} log_{C,P}(\alpha_i)(t+\varepsilon_P)^i,\ \alpha_i \in O_{\mathbb{C}_P}.$$

Observe that by Lemma 11, we have :

$$log_{C,P}(\omega_P(t)\mu(t)) = 0.$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A} = \{x \in \mathcal{A}, v_P(x) > 0\}$. Observe that :

$$\mathcal{A}^0 = \{x \in \mathcal{A}, v_P(x) \geq 0\} = \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[t, \frac{1}{P(t)}] \oplus \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A}.$$

We notice that $log_{C^\dagger}$ converges on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$. We have :

$$C_P^\dagger \equiv P(t)\tau^d \pmod{PA_P[t]\{\tau\}}.$$

Thus, if $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$, there exists an integer $n \geq 1$ such that :

$$v_P(C_{P^n}^\dagger(\alpha) - P(t)^n\alpha) \geq 1.$$

Therefore, as in section 3, we set :

$$log_{C^\dagger,P}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{P^n - P(t)^n} log_{C^\dagger}(C_{P^n}^\dagger(\alpha) - P(t)^n\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}.$$

We obtain a map: $log_{C^\dagger,P} : \mathcal{A}^0 \to \mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 12. *We have :*

$$log_{C^\dagger,P}(\omega_P(t)) = 0.$$

In fact, we have :

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{A}^0, \mu(t) log_{C^\dagger,P}(x) = log_{C,P}(x\mu(t)).$$

Proof. Observe that :

$$C_\theta^\dagger(\omega_P(t)) = t\omega_P(t).$$

By the definition of $log_{C^\dagger,P}$, we have :

$$log_{C^\dagger,P}(\omega_P(t)) = \frac{1}{\theta - t} log_{C^\dagger,P}(C_\theta^\dagger(\omega_P(t)) - t\omega_P(t)) = 0.$$

The second assertion can be proved the same way and is left to the reader. □

Now, we set :

$$\mathcal{L}_P(t) = \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \tau^j(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}_{q^d}; \frac{1}{t-\zeta_P}; \theta - \zeta_P)) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}[t, \frac{1}{P(t)}][[P]].$$

Observe that $\mathcal{L}_p(t)$ is convergent for $t \in \mathbb{C}_P \setminus \{\zeta_P, \ldots, \zeta_p^{q^d-1}\}$.

Theorem 5.
Set $\pi_P = \prod_{i=0}^{d-1}\prod_{j\geq 1}(1 - (\theta - \zeta_P)^{q^{dj+i} - q^i})^{-1}$. *We have the following equality in* $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[t, \frac{1}{P(t)}]((P))$:

$$\frac{b_d(t)\mathcal{L}_P(t)}{\pi_P}(\frac{1}{P} log_{C^\dagger,P}(\sum_{k=1}^{d} k(P,k) b_k(t)\omega_P(t))) = P(t)L_P(t),$$

where $C_P = \sum_{k=0}^{d}(P,k)\tau^k$, $(P,k) \in A, k = 0, \ldots, d$.

Proof. Set :

$$Log_{P,z} = \sum_{a \in A_+ \setminus PA_+} \frac{z^{dega}}{a} C_a \in A_P\{\tau\}[[z]],$$

$$log_{C,z} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{z^k}{\ell_k}\tau^k \in K\{\tau\}[[z]].$$

Here $\tau z = z\tau$. By Anderson' s log algebraicity Theorem (see [1]):

$$log_{C,z} = \sum_{a \in A_+} \frac{z^{dega}}{a} C_a.$$

Therefore :

$$Log_{P,z} = log_{C,z} - \frac{z^d}{P} log_{C,z} C_P.$$

We get in $\mathcal{B}[[z]]$:

$$Log_{P,z}(\omega_P(t)\mu(t)) = (\sum_{a \in A_+ \setminus PA_+} \frac{a(t) z^{dega}}{a})(\omega_P(t)\mu(t)). \tag{3}$$

We have :

$$\frac{d}{dz} Log_{P,z} = \frac{d}{dz} log_{C,z} - d\frac{z^{d-1}}{P} log_{C,z} C_P - \frac{z^d}{P}(\frac{d}{dz} log_{C,z}) C_P.$$

Taking $z = 1$ we get :

$$\frac{d}{dz} Log_{P,z} \mid_{z=1} = \frac{d}{dz} log_{C,z} \mid_{z=1} - dlog_C - \frac{1}{P}\frac{d}{dz} log_{C,z} \mid_{z=1} C_P \in K\{\{\tau\}\}.$$

But, using the fact that :

$$log_{C,z} C_{z,P} = P log_{C,z},$$

where if $C_P = \sum_{k=0}^{d} (P,k)\tau^k$, we have :

$$C_{z,P} = \sum_{k=0}^{d} z^k (P,k)\tau^k.$$

We get :

$$\frac{d}{dz} Log_{P,z} \mid_{z=1} = \frac{1}{P} log_C((\sum_{k=1}^{d} k(P,k)\tau^k) - dlog_C \in K\{\{\tau\}\}.$$

Therefore, evaluating at $\mu(t)\omega_P(t)$ and using (3) and (2), we get :

$$\frac{1}{P} log_{C^\dagger,P}(\sum_{k=1}^{d} k(P,k) b_k(t)\omega_P(t)) - dlog_{C^\dagger,P}(\omega_P(t)) = L_P(t)\omega_P(t).$$

But by Proposition 2 :

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}_P(t)\omega_P(t)}{\pi_P} = \frac{P(t)}{b_d(t)}.$$

We get the result by Lemma 12. □

6.2. **The case** $s \geq 2$**.** Let $t_1, \ldots, t_s, z$ be $s+1$ indeterminates over $\mathbb{C}_P$ and let $\mathcal{B}_s$ be the set of elements in $\mathbb{C}_P[[t_1 + \varepsilon_P, \ldots, t_s + \varepsilon_P]]$ with bounded coefficients equipped with the usual Gauss P-adic valuation. Let $\tau : \mathcal{B}_s \to \mathcal{B}_s$ be the continuous morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q[[t_1 + \varepsilon_P, \ldots, t_s + \varepsilon_P]]$-algebras given by :

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{C}_p, \tau(x) = x^q.$$

Let $sgn : \mathcal{B}_s^0 := \{x \in \mathcal{B}_s, v_p(x) \geq 0\} \to \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[[t_1 + \varepsilon_P, \ldots, t_s + \varepsilon_P]]$ be the continuous morphism of $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[[t_1 + \varepsilon_P, \ldots, t_s + \varepsilon_P]]$-algebras that extends $sgn : O_{\mathbb{C}_P} \to \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$. Let also $\mathcal{B}_s^{00} = \ker sgn$.

Let $\mathcal{A}_s \subset \mathcal{B}_s$ be the P-adic completion of $\mathbb{C}_P[t_1, \ldots, t_s, \frac{1}{P(t_1)\cdots P(t_s)}]$. We also set $\mathcal{A}_s^0 = \{x \in \mathcal{A}_s, v_P(x) \geq 0\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_s^{00} = \{x \in \mathcal{A}_s, v_P(x) > 0\}$. Let $\phi : A \to \mathcal{A}_s\{\tau\}$ be the morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebras given by :

$$\phi_\theta = (t_1 - \zeta_P)\cdots(t_s - \zeta_P)\tau + \theta.$$

If $s=1$ then $\phi = C^{\dagger}$. Let :

$$log_{\phi} = \sum_{i\geq 0} \frac{sgn(b_i(t_1)\cdots b_i(t_s))}{\ell_i}\tau^i \in \mathcal{A}_s\{\{\tau\}\}.$$

We have in $\mathcal{B}\{\{\tau\}\}$:

$$\mu(t_1)\cdots\mu(t_s)\phi_\theta = C_\theta \mu(t_1)\cdots\mu(t_s),$$

$$\mu(t_1)\cdots\mu(t_s)log_\phi = log_C \mu(t_1)\cdots\mu(t_s),$$

$$log_\phi \phi_\theta = \theta log_\phi.$$

As in the previous section let $log_{C,P} : \mathcal{B}_s^0 \to \mathbb{C}_P[[t_1+\varepsilon_P,\dots,t_s+\varepsilon_P]]$ be the continuous morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q[[t_1+\varepsilon_P,\dots,t_s+\varepsilon_P]]$-algebras induced by $log_{C,P} : O_{\mathbb{C}_P} \to \mathbb{C}_P$. Now, observe that log_ϕ converges on $\mathcal{A}_s^{00}$ and :

$$\phi_P \equiv P(t_1)\cdots P(t_s)\tau^d \pmod{P\mathcal{A}^0\{\tau\}}.$$

Thus, again as in the previous section, we can extend log_ϕ to a continuous morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q[t_1,\dots,t_s,\frac{1}{P(t_1)\cdots P(t_s)}]$-algebras $log_{\phi,P} : \mathcal{A}_s^0 \to \mathcal{A}$. We have :

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{A}^0, \mu(t_1)\cdots\mu(t_s)log_{\phi,P}(x) = log_{C,P}(x\mu(t_1)\cdots\mu(t_s)).$$

We will follow the proof of Theorem 3. We work in $\mathcal{B}_s[[z]]$ where $\tau z = z\tau$. We have in $\mathcal{B}_s[[z]]$:

$$\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{a\in A_{+,k}} \frac{a(t_1)\cdots a(t_s)}{a} z^k = log_{s,z} u(t_1,\dots,t_s;z),$$

where :

$$log_{s,z} = \sum_{i\geq 0}\frac{b_i(t_1)\cdots b_i(t_s)z^i}{\ell_i}\tau^i.$$

Thus:

$$\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{\substack{a\in A_{+,k}\\ a\not\equiv 0 \pmod{P}}} \frac{a(t_1)\cdots a(t_s)}{a} z^k = (1-\frac{P(t_1)\cdots P(t_s)z^d}{P}) log_{s,z} u(t_1,\dots,t_s;z).$$

We multiply the above equality by $\omega(t_1)\cdots\omega(t_s) \in \mathcal{B}^0$, we get :

$$\omega(t_1)\cdots\omega(t_s)\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{a\in A_{+,k}, a\not\equiv 0 \pmod{P}} \frac{a(t_1)\cdots a(t_s)}{a} z^k$$

$$= (1-\frac{P(t_1)\cdots P(t_s)z^d}{P}) log_{C,z}\omega(t_1)\cdots\omega(t_s) u(t_1,\dots,t_s;z),$$

where we recall that $log_{C,z} = \sum_{i\geq 0}\frac{z^i}{\ell_i}\tau^i$. Observe that :

$$\omega(t_1)\cdots\omega(t_s)u(t_1,\dots,t_s;z) \in \mu(t_1)\cdots\mu(t_s)\mathcal{A}_s^0.$$

Now, we divide by $\mu(t_1)\cdots\mu(t_s)$, we have (in $\mathcal{A}[[z]]$):

$$\omega_P(t_1)\cdots\omega_P(t_s)\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{a\in A_{+,k}, a\not\equiv 0 \pmod{P}} \frac{a(t_1)\cdots a(t_s)}{a} z^k$$

$$= (1-\frac{P(t_1)\cdots P(t_s)z^d}{P}) log_{\phi,z}\omega_P(t_1)\cdots\omega_P(t_s) u(t_1,\dots,t_s;z),$$

where :

$$log_{\phi,z}=\sum_{i\geq 0}\frac{sgn(b_i(t_1)\cdots b_i(t_s))z^i}{\ell_i}\tau^i.$$

Now, as in the proof of Theorem 3, if $s\not\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$, we have :

$$\begin{aligned}&\omega_P(t_1)\cdots\omega_P(t_s)L_P(t_1,\ldots,t_s)\\&=(1-\frac{P(t_1)\cdots P(t_s)}{P})log_{\phi,P}(\omega_P(t_1)\cdots\omega_P(t_s)u(t_1,\ldots,t_s;1)),\end{aligned}$$

and if $s\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$, we get :

$$\begin{aligned}&\omega_P(t_1)\cdots\omega_P(t_s)L_P(t_1,\ldots,t_s)\\&=(1-\frac{P(t_1)\cdots P(t_s)}{P})log_{\phi,P}(\omega_P(t_1)\cdots\omega_P(t_s)\frac{d}{dz}u(t_1,\ldots,t_s;z)\mid_{z=1}).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $s\not\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$, if we set :

$$\begin{aligned}U(t_1,\ldots,t_s)&=\omega_P(t_1)\cdots\omega_P(t_s)u(t_1,\ldots,t_s;1)\\&\in\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[t_1,\ldots,t_s,\frac{1}{P(t_1)\cdots P(t_s)}][[P]],\end{aligned}$$

and for $s\equiv 1\ (q-1)$:

$$\begin{aligned}U(t_1,\ldots,t_s)&=\omega_P(t_1)\cdots\omega_P(t_s)\frac{d}{dz}u(t_1,\ldots,t_s;z)\mid_{z=1}\\&\in\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[t_1,\ldots,t_s,\frac{1}{P(t_1)\cdots P(t_s)}][[P]],\end{aligned}$$

We get for $s\geq 2$:

$$\begin{aligned}&P(t_1)\cdots P(t_s)L_P(t_1,\ldots,t_s)\\&=b_d(t_1)\cdots b_d(t_s)\frac{\mathcal{L}_P(t_1)\cdots\mathcal{L}_P(t_s)}{\pi_P^s}(1-\frac{P(t_1)\cdots P(t_s)}{P})log_{\phi,P}(U(t_1,\ldots,t_s)).\end{aligned}$$

An interesting case is when $s\geq 2$, $s\equiv 1 \pmod{q^d-1}$. Let's set :

$$\begin{aligned}B_P(t_1,\ldots,t_s)&=\prod_{j=0}^{d-1}\tau^j(B(\mathbb{F}_{q^d};\frac{1}{t_1-\zeta_P},\ldots,\frac{1}{t_s-\zeta_P};\theta-\zeta_P))\\&\in\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[t_1,\ldots,t_s,\frac{1}{P(t_1)\cdots P(t_s)}][\theta].\end{aligned}$$

We get in this case :

$$\begin{aligned}&B_P(t_1,\ldots,t_s)L_P(t_1,\ldots,t_s)\\&=\frac{\mathcal{L}_P(t_1,\ldots,t_s)}{\pi_P}(1-\frac{P(t_1)\cdots P(t_s)}{P})log_{\phi,P}(U(t_1,\ldots,t_s)),\end{aligned}$$

where :

$$\mathcal{L}_P(t_1,\ldots,t_s)=\prod_{j=0}^{d-1}\tau^j(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}_{q^d};\frac{1}{t_1-\zeta_P},\ldots,\frac{1}{t_s-\zeta_P};\theta-\zeta_P)).$$

7. The case of the place ∞

We are now applying the results in section 6 to get some new functional identities for L-series introduced in [24].

7.1. **The case** $s = 1$**.**

Let $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ be the completion, for the Gauss valuation v_∞, of $\mathbb{C}_\infty[t, \frac{1}{t}]$. Let $\mathcal{B}_\infty \subset \mathbb{C}_\infty[[t+1]]$ be the set of power series with bouded coefficients. Then :

$$\mathcal{A}_\infty \subset \mathcal{B}_\infty.$$

Set $\mathcal{B}_\infty^0 = \{x \in \mathcal{B}_\infty, v_\infty(x) \geq 0\} = O_{\mathbb{C}_\infty}[[t+1]]$. Let $sgn = sgn_\infty : \mathcal{B}_\infty^0 \to \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[[t+1]]$ be the continuous morphism of $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[[t+1]]$-algebras such that:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{C}_\infty, v_\infty(x - sgn(x)) > 0.$$

We set :

$$\mathcal{B}_\infty^{00} = \mathrm{Ker} sgn.$$

Set $\mathcal{A}_\infty^0 = \mathcal{A}_\infty \cap \mathcal{B}_\infty^0$ and $\mathcal{A}_\infty^{00} = \mathcal{A}_\infty \cap \mathcal{B}_\infty^{00} = \{x \in \mathcal{A}_\infty, v_\infty(x) > 0\}$. Observe that :

$$\mathcal{A}_\infty^0 = \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[t, \frac{1}{t}] \oplus \mathcal{A}_\infty^{00}.$$

Recall that :

$$\omega(t) = \lambda_\theta \prod_{j \geq 0} (1 - \frac{t}{\theta^{q^j}})^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}_\infty^\times.$$

Let's set :

$$\omega_\infty(t) = \frac{\omega(\frac{1}{t})}{\lambda_\theta} \in (\mathcal{A}_\infty^0)^\times.$$

We first introduce a new kind of L-series. We set :

$$\mathcal{L}_\infty(t) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{a \in A_{+,k}, a(0) \neq 0} k \frac{a(t)}{a(\frac{1}{\theta})}.$$

then by Theorem 1, we have :

$$\mathcal{L}_\infty(t) \in \mathcal{A}_\infty^0.$$

And in fact $\mathcal{L}_\infty(t)$ defines an entire function on $\mathbb{C}_\infty$. Also recall that (see [24]) :

$$L(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{a \in A_{+,k}} \frac{a(t)}{a} \in (\mathcal{A}_\infty^0)^\times.$$

Let $\tau : \mathcal{B}_\infty \to \mathcal{B}_\infty$ be the continuous morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q[[t+1]]$-algebras given by :

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{C}_\infty, \tau(x) = x^q.$$

Now, let $C^\dagger : A \to \mathcal{A}_\infty\{\tau\}$ be the morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebras given by :

$$C_\theta^\dagger = t\tau + \frac{1}{\theta}.$$

We set :

$$log_{C^\dagger} = 1 + \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{t^j}{\prod_{k=1}^j (\frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{\theta^{q^k}})} \tau^j \in \mathcal{A}_\infty\{\{\tau\}\}.$$

We have in $\mathcal{A}_\infty\{\{\tau\}\}$:

$$log_{C^\dagger} C_\theta^\dagger = \frac{1}{\theta} log_{C^\dagger}.$$

Observe that $log_{C^\dagger}$ converges on $\mathcal{A}_\infty^{00}$. Furthermore, if $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}, n \geq 1$, then :

$$v_\infty(C_{\theta^n}^\dagger(\alpha) - t^n\alpha) \geq 1.$$

Therefore we set :

$$log_{C^\dagger,\infty}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\theta^{-n} - t^n} log_{C^\dagger}(C_{\theta^n}^\dagger(\alpha) - t^n\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}_\infty.$$

Thus, as in section 3, we obtain a continuous morpshism of $\mathbb{F}_q[t, \frac{1}{t}]$-modules :

$$log_{C^\dagger,\infty} : \mathcal{A}_\infty^0 \to \mathcal{A}_\infty.$$

Observe that :

$$log_{C^\dagger,\infty}(\omega_\infty(t)) = 0.$$

The reader can recognize that we are in the situation of section 6 for the local field $\mathbb{F}_q((\frac{1}{\theta}))$. Therefore if we apply Theorem 5 (change θ in $\frac{1}{\theta}$ in the formula), we get :

Corollary 1. *We have the following equality in* $\mathbb{F}_q[t, \frac{1}{t}]((\frac{1}{\theta}))$:

$$L(\frac{1}{t})\, log_{C^\dagger,\infty}((t-\frac{1}{\theta})\frac{\omega(\frac{1}{t})}{\lambda_\theta}) = \frac{t\widetilde{\pi}}{\theta\lambda_\theta(\theta t-1)}\,\mathcal{L}_\infty(t).$$

7.2. The case $s \geq 2$.

Let $s \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{s,\infty}$ be the completion for the Gauss ∞-adic valuation of $\mathbb{C}_\infty[t_1, \ldots, t_s, \frac{1}{t_1\cdots t_s}]$. We set :

$$\mathcal{A}_{s,\infty}^0 = \{x \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\infty}, v_\infty(x) \geq 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{s,\infty}^{00} = \{x \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\infty}, v_\infty(x) > 0\}.$$

Then :

$$\mathcal{A}_{s,\infty}^0 = \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[t_1, \ldots, t_s, \frac{1}{t_1\cdots t_s}] \oplus \mathcal{A}_{s,\infty}^{00}.$$

Let $\tau : \mathcal{A}_{s,\infty} \to \mathcal{A}_{s,\infty}$ be the continuous morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q[t_1, \ldots, t_s, \frac{1}{t_1\cdots t_s}]$-algebras such that :

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{C}_\infty, \tau(x) = x^q.$$

Let $\phi : A \to \mathcal{A}_{s,\infty}\{\tau\}$ be the morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebras such that :

$$\phi_\theta = t_1\cdots t_s\tau + \frac{1}{\theta}.$$

Set :

$$log_\phi = 1 + \sum_{j\geq 1} \frac{t_1^j\cdots t_s^j}{\prod_{k=1}^j(\frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{\theta^{q^k}})}\tau^j \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\infty}\{\{\tau\}\}.$$

Then log_ϕ induces a continuous morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q[t_1, \ldots, t_s, \frac{1}{t_1\cdots t_s}]$-modules :

$$log_{\phi,\infty} : \mathcal{A}_{s,\infty}^0 \to \mathcal{A}_{s,\infty}.$$

Let $v(t_1, \ldots, t_s; z) \in \mathbb{F}_q[t_1, \ldots, t_s, z, \frac{1}{\theta}]$ be the polynomial obtained by formally replacing θ by $\frac{1}{\theta}$ in the Anderson-Stark unit $u(t_1, \ldots, t_s; z) \in \mathbb{F}_q[t_1, \ldots, t_s, z, \theta]$. Now, we set :

$$F_\infty(t_1, \ldots, t_s; z) = (\prod_{j=1}^s \frac{\omega(\frac{1}{t_j})}{\lambda_\theta})v(t_1, \ldots, t_s; z) \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\infty}^0[z].$$

We further, set if $s \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$:

$$U_\infty(t_1, \ldots, t_s) = F_\infty(t_1, \ldots, t_s; z)\mid_{z=1},$$

and if $s \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$:

$$U_\infty(t_1, \ldots, t_s) = \frac{d}{dz}F_\infty(t_1, \ldots, t_s; z)\mid_{z=1}.$$

Now, we introduce a new kind of L-series. If $s \equiv 1 \mod q-1$:

$$\mathcal{L}_\infty(t_1,\ldots,t_s)=\sum_{k\geq 1}\sum_{a\in A_{+,k},a(0)\neq 0} k\frac{a(t_1)\cdots a(t_s)}{a(\frac{1}{\theta})}\in \mathcal{A}^0_{s,\infty},$$

and if $s\not\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_\infty(t_1,\ldots,t_s)=\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{a\in A_{+,k},a(0)\neq 0} \frac{a(t_1)\cdots a(t_s)}{a(\frac{1}{\theta})}\in \mathcal{A}^0_{s,\infty}.$$

Again, by Theorem 2, $\mathcal{L}_\infty(t_1,\ldots,t_s)$ defines an entire function on $\mathbb{C}_\infty^s$. The reader recognize that we are again in the situation of section 6 for the local field $\mathbb{F}_q((\frac{1}{\theta}))$. Therefore we get :

Corollary 2. *We have the following equality in* $\mathbb{F}_q[t_1,\ldots,t_s,\frac{1}{t_1\cdots t_s}]((\frac{1}{\theta}))$:

$$\begin{aligned}&(t_1-\frac{1}{\theta})\cdots(t_s-\frac{1}{\theta})\frac{\lambda_\theta^s\theta^s L(\frac{1}{t_1})\cdots L(\frac{1}{t_s})}{\widetilde{\pi}^s}(1-\theta t_1\cdots t_s)log_{\phi,\infty}(U_\infty(t_1,\ldots,t_s))\\&=t_1\cdots t_s\mathcal{L}_\infty(t_1,\ldots,t_s).\end{aligned}$$

Now let $s\geq 2$, $s\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$. Set :

$$B_\infty(t_1,\ldots,t_s)=B(\mathbb{F}_q;\frac{1}{t_1},\ldots,\frac{1}{t_s};\frac{1}{\theta})\in\mathbb{F}_q[\frac{1}{t_1},\ldots,\frac{1}{t_s},\frac{1}{\theta}].$$

We get by section 6 :

Corollary 3. *We have the following equality in* $\mathbb{F}_q[t_1,\ldots,t_s,\frac{1}{t_1\cdots t_s}]((\frac{1}{\theta}))$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\lambda_\theta\theta L(\frac{1}{t_1},\ldots,\frac{1}{t_s})}{\widetilde{\pi}}(1-\theta t_1\cdots t_s)log_{\phi,\infty}(U_\infty(t_1,\ldots,t_s))\\&=B_\infty(t_1,\ldots,t_s)\mathcal{L}_\infty(t_1,\ldots,t_s).\end{aligned}$$

8. Application to class modules

8.1. Background on the s-variable class module.

Let $s\geq 2$ be an integer. Let $t_1,\ldots,t_s,z$ be $s+1$ variables over $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ and let $\tau:\mathbb{C}_\infty[[t_1,\ldots,t_s,z]]\rightarrow\mathbb{C}_\infty[[t_1,\ldots,t_s,z]]$ be the morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q[[t_1,\ldots,t_s,z]]$-algebras such that :

$$\forall x\in\mathbb{C}_\infty,\tau(x)=x^q.$$

Let $\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)$ be the Tate algebra in the variables $t_1,\ldots,t_s$ with coefficients in K_∞, i.e. $\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)\subset K_\infty[[t_1,\ldots,t_s]]$ is the completion of $K_\infty[t_1,\ldots,t_s]$ for the ∞-adic Gauss valuation. Let $\phi:A\rightarrow\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)\{\tau\}$ be the morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebras given by :

$$\phi_\theta=(t_1-\theta)\cdots(t_s-\theta)\tau+\theta.$$

The exponential function associated to ϕ is :

$$\exp_\phi=\sum_{j\geq 0}\frac{b_j(t_1)\cdots b_j(t_s)}{D_j}\tau^j\in\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)\{\{\tau\}\}.$$

It satisfies the functional equation in $\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)\{\{\tau\}\}$:

$$\exp_\phi\theta=\phi_\theta\exp_\phi.$$

Furthermore $\exp_\phi$ converges on $\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)$. We set :

$$U_s=\{f\in\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty),\exp_\phi(f)\in A[\underline{t}_s]\},$$

$$H_s = \frac{\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)}{A[\underline{t}_s] + \exp_\phi(\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty))}.$$

It is proved in [6], Corollary 5.2 and Corollary 5.5, that U_s is a free $A[\underline{t}_s]$-module of rank one and H_s is a finitely generated and torsion $A[\underline{t}_s]$-module. Furthermore, if $s \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$ (see [6], section 6):

$$U_s = \frac{\widetilde{\pi}}{\omega(t_1)\cdots\omega(t_s)} A[\underline{t}_s].$$

Observe that in this case, any element of U_s is an entire function in $\mathbb{E}_s(K_\infty)$ (see section 1). In fact, we have :

Proposition 6.

$$U_s \subset \mathbb{E}_s(K_\infty).$$

Proof. Recall that by [6], Theorem 5.11, $L(t_1, \ldots, t_s) \in U_s$ and $\frac{U_s}{L(t_1,\ldots,t_s)A[\underline{t}_s]}$ is a finitely generated and torsion $A[\underline{t}_s]$-module. Note also that, since $L(t_1, \ldots, t_s) \in \mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)^\times$, $\frac{U_s}{L(t_1,\ldots,t_s)A[\underline{t}_s]}$ is a free and finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_q[\underline{t}_s]$-module (see also [6], Remark 5.17). Therefore there exists $F_s \in A[\underline{t}_s]\setminus\{0\}$ which is monic as a polynomial in θ such that :

$$\mathrm{Fitt}_{A[\underline{t}_s]} \frac{U_s}{L(t_1, \ldots, t_s)A[\underline{t}_s]} = F_s A[\underline{t}_s],$$

where $\mathrm{Fitt}_{A[\underline{t}_s]} M$ denotes the Fitting ideal of an $A[\underline{t}_s]$-module M. Since we have $L(t_1, \ldots, t_s) \in \mathbb{E}_s(K_\infty)$, the Proposition follows from Proposition 1 and Lemma 3. $\square$

Let :

$$\exp_{\phi,z} = \sum_{j\geq 0} \frac{z^j b_j(t_1)\cdots b_j(t_s)}{D_j} \tau^j \in \mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)[z]\{\{\tau\}\}.$$

We have :

$$\exp_{\phi,z} \theta = (z(t_1-\theta)\cdots(t_s-\theta)\tau + \theta) \exp_{\phi,z}. \tag{4}$$

By [6], Corollary 5.12 :

$$\exp_{\phi,z}(L(t_1, \ldots, t_s; z)) = u(t_1, \ldots, t_s; z),$$

where we recall that:

$$L(t_1, \ldots, t_s; z) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \sum_{A \in A_{+,k}} \frac{a(t_1)\cdots a(t_s)}{a} z^k,$$

and $u(t_1, \ldots, t_s; z) \in A[t_1, \ldots, t_s, z]$. If $s \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$, we set :

$$u_s = u(t_1, \ldots, t_s; 1) \in A[\underline{t}_s],$$

and if $s \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$:

$$u_s = \frac{d}{dz} u(t_1, \ldots, t_s; z) \mid_{z=1} \in A[\underline{t}_s].$$

Lemma 13. *Set $R = \mathbb{F}_q(t_1, \ldots, t_s)[\theta]$, we extend ϕ to a morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q(t_1, \ldots, t_s)$-algebras $\phi : R \to R\{\tau\}$. Then u_s is not a torsion point for ϕ.*

Proof. Assume the contrary and let's suppose that there exists $c \in R\backslash\{0\}$ such that: $\phi_c(u_s) = 0$. Let $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ such that the evaluation of c at $t_i = \zeta_i, i = 1, \dots, s$, is well-defined and non-zero, and let $d \in \mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s)[\theta] \setminus \{0\}$ be this evaluation. Set :

$$a = N_{\mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_1,\dots,\zeta_s)(\theta)/K}(d) \in A \setminus \{0\},$$

where: $N_{\mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_1,\dots,\zeta_s)(\theta)/K} : \mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s)(\theta) \to K$ is the norm map. Let χ be the Dirichlet character of conductor f associated to $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s$ (see section 1). Let $\sigma = \tau \otimes 1$ on $A[\lambda_f] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s)$. Now, let $\widetilde{C} : \mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s)[\theta] \to \mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s)[\theta]\{\sigma\}$ be the morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s)$-algebras such that :

$$\widetilde{C}_\theta = \sigma + \theta.$$

Then :

$$\widetilde{C}_a(g(\chi)u_s \mid_{t_i = \zeta_i, i=1,\dots,s}) = 0.$$

We get (see section 4), if $s \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$:

$$C_a(u_{[\chi]}(1)) = 0,$$

and if $s \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$:

$$C_a(u_{[\chi]}^{(1)}) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction by the results in section 4. □

8.2. **Pseudo-cyclicity.**

Now let $s \geq 2$, $s \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$. We set :

$$\exp_\phi^{(1)} = \sum_{j \geq 1} j \frac{b_j(t_1) \cdots b_j(t_s)}{D_j} \tau^j \in \mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)\{\{\tau\}\}.$$

Observe that $\exp_\phi^{(1)}$ converges on $\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)$. Furthermore, if we take the derivative of formula (4) with respect to z and evaluate at $z = 1$, we get :

$$\exp_\phi^{(1)} \theta = (t_1 - \theta) \cdots (t_s - \theta) \tau \exp_\phi + \phi_\theta \exp_\phi^{(1)}.$$

Proposition 7. *The map induced by* $\exp_\phi^{(1)}$:

$$\exp_\phi^{(1)} : U_s \to \frac{\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)}{\exp_\phi(\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty))},$$

is an injective morphism of A*-modules.*

Proof. We have :

$$\exp_\phi^{(1)}(L(t_1, \dots, t_s)) \equiv u_s \pmod{\exp_\phi(\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty))}.$$

Furthermore, if $a \in A$, we have :

$$\exp_\phi^{(1)}(L(t_1, \dots, t_s)a) \equiv \phi_a(u_s) \pmod{\exp_\phi(\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty))}.$$

Since $s \geq 2$, $s \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$, we have by [6], proof of Proposition 7.2 :

$$A[\underline{t}_s] \cap \exp_\phi(\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)) = \{0\}.$$

Therefore, for $a \in A[\underline{t}_s]$, we get that $\exp_\phi^{(1)}(L(t_1,\ldots,t_s)a) \equiv 0 \mod \exp_\phi(\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty))$ if and only if $\phi_a(u_s)=0$. But , by Lemma 13, we have that $\phi_a(u_s)=0$ if and only if $a=0$. We deduce that the map induced by $\exp_\phi^{(1)}$:

$$L(t_1,\ldots,t_s)A[\underline{t}_s] \to \frac{\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)}{\exp_\phi(\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty))},$$

is an injective morphism of A-modules. Since there exists $F_s \in A[\underline{t}_s]$ which is monic as a polynomial in θ such that:

$$U_s = \frac{1}{F_s}L(t_1,\ldots,t_s)A[\underline{t}_s],$$

and since :

$$\exp_{\phi,z}(\frac{1}{F_s}L(t_1,\ldots,t_s;z)) \mid_{z=1} = 0,$$

we deduce easily the assertion of the Proposition. □

Lemma 14.
We have:

$$\exp_\phi^{(1)}(\frac{\widetilde{\pi}}{\omega(t_1)\cdots\omega(t_s)}) \equiv \frac{(-1)^{\frac{s-q}{q-1}}}{\theta^{\frac{s-q}{q-1}}} \pmod{\exp_\phi(\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty))}.$$

Proof.
By [6], proof of Proposition 7.2, we have:

$$\exp_\phi(\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)) = \{x \in \mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty), v_\infty(x) > \frac{s-q}{q-1}\}.$$

Now observe that :

$$v_\infty(\frac{\widetilde{\pi}}{\omega(t_1)\cdots\omega(t_s)} + \frac{(-1)^{\frac{s-q}{q-1}}}{\theta^{\frac{s-q}{q-1}}}) > \frac{s-q}{q-1}.$$

For $i \geq 2$:

$$v_\infty(\frac{b_i(t_1)\cdots b_i(t_s)\tau^i(\frac{\widetilde{\pi}}{\omega(t_1)\cdots\omega(t_s)})}{D_i}) > \frac{s-q}{q-1}.$$

Furthermore, recall that $\tau(\omega(t_i)) = (t_i-\theta)\omega(t_i)$. Finally, observe that :

$$v_\infty(\frac{\widetilde{\pi}^q}{(\theta^q-\theta)\omega(t_1)\cdots\omega(t_s)} - \frac{(-1)^{\frac{s-q}{q-1}}}{\theta^{\frac{s-q}{q-1}}}) > \frac{s-q}{q-1}.$$

□

Lemma 15. *Let $a \in A[\underline{t}_s]\setminus\{0\}$, a monic as a polynomial in θ. If $\phi_a(\frac{(-1)^{\frac{s-q}{q-1}}}{\theta^{\frac{s-q}{q-1}}}) \in A[\underline{t}_s]+\exp_\phi(\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty))$ then $deg_\theta(a) \geq \frac{s-q}{q-1}$.*

Proof. Observe that if $1 \leq \ell \leq \frac{s-q}{q-1}$, we have :

$$\phi_\theta(\frac{1}{\theta^\ell}) \mid_{t_i=0, i=1,\ldots,s} \equiv \frac{1}{\theta^{\ell-1}} \pmod{\frac{1}{\theta^{\ell-2}}A}.$$

Write $a = \theta^r + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_i\theta^i$, $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_q[\underline{t}_s]$. Set $b = a \mid_{t_j=0, j=1,\cdots s}$. Let $\psi : A \to A\{\tau\}$ be the Drinfeld module of rank one given by $\psi_\theta = (-1)^s\theta^s\tau + \theta$. Observe that:

$$\exp_\psi(K_\infty) = \{x \in K_\infty, v_\infty(x) > \frac{s-q}{q-1}\}.$$

Since $\phi_a(\frac{1}{\theta^{\frac{s-q}{q-1}}}) \in A[\underline{t}_s] + \exp_\phi(\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty))$, we have :

$$\psi_b(\frac{1}{\theta^{\frac{s-q}{q-1}}}) \in A + \exp_\psi(K_\infty).$$

Therefore, we get by induction on i :

$$\forall i \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}, a_i \mid_{t_j=0, j=1,\cdots,s} = 0.$$

Thus :

$$\phi_{\theta^r}(\frac{1}{\theta^{\frac{s-q}{q-1}}}) \mid_{t_j=0, j=1,\cdots,s} \in A + \exp_\psi(K_\infty).$$

This implies that $r \geq \frac{s-q}{q-1}$. □

We can answer to [6], question 9.9, when $s \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$:

Theorem 6.
Let $s \geq 2, s \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$, then $H_s \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[\underline{t}_s]} \mathbb{F}_q(\underline{t}_s)$ is a cyclic $R = \mathbb{F}_q(\underline{t}_s)[\theta]$-module.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the completion of $\mathbb{F}_q(\underline{t}_s)(\theta)$ for the ∞-adic Gauss valuation v_∞ on $\mathbb{F}_q(\underline{t}_s)(\theta)$. Let $\tau : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K}$ be the continuous morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q(\underline{t}_s)$-algebras given by $\tau(\theta) = \theta^q$. Recall that $\phi : R \to R\{\tau\}$ is the morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q(\underline{t}_s)$-algebras such that $\phi_\theta = (t_1 - \theta) \cdots (t_s - \theta)\tau + \theta$. If $S \subset \mathcal{K}$, we denote by $\mathbb{F}_q(\underline{t}_s)S$ the $\mathbb{F}_q(\underline{t}_s)$-vector space generated by S. Since $\mathbb{F}_q(\underline{t}_s)\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)$ is dense in $\mathcal{K}$, we have ([6], Proposition 5.4):

$$\{x \in \mathcal{K}, \exp_\phi(x) \in R\} = \mathbb{F}_q(\underline{t}_s)U_s,$$

and the map $H_s \to \frac{\mathcal{K}}{R + \exp_\phi(\mathcal{K})}$ (which is injective) induces an isomorphism of R-modules ([6], section 5.4.1):

$$H_s \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[\underline{t}_s]} \mathbb{F}_q(\underline{t}_s) \simeq \frac{\mathcal{K}}{R + \exp_\phi(\mathcal{K})}.$$

Recall that H_s is a free $\mathbb{F}_q[\underline{t}_s]$-module of rank $\frac{s-q}{q-1}$ ([6], Proposition 7.2). Let $F_s \in A[\underline{t}_s]$ be the monic polynomial in θ of degree $\frac{s-q}{q-1}$ such that :

$$\mathrm{Fitt}_{A[\underline{t}_s]} H_s = F_s A[\underline{t}_s].$$

By the class number formula ([6], Theorem 5.11):

$$U_s = \frac{1}{F_s} L(t_1, \cdots, t_s) A[\underline{t}_s].$$

Note that:

$$\exp_\phi(\mathcal{K}) = \{x \in \mathcal{K}, v_\infty(x) > \frac{s-q}{q-1}\}.$$

Observe that $\exp_\phi(\mathcal{K}) \cap \mathbb{F}_q(\underline{t}_s)\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty) = \exp_\phi(\mathbb{F}_q(\underline{t}_s)\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty))$, thus, by Proposition 7, the map $\exp_\phi^{(1)}$ induces an injective morphism of R-modules:

$$\mathbb{F}_q(\underline{t}_s)U_s \to \frac{\mathcal{K}}{\exp_\phi(\mathcal{K})}.$$

Set:

$$V = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q(\underline{t}_s)U_s, \exp_\phi^{(1)}(x) \in R + \exp_\phi(\mathcal{K})\}.$$

Then :

$$L(t_1, \cdots, t_s)R \subset V.$$

Observe that V is a free module of rank one and that the monic generator $G \in R$ of the Fitting ideal $\mathrm{Fitt}_R \frac{\mathbb{F}_q(\underline{t}_s)U_s}{V}$ divides F_s. Thus $G \in A[\underline{t}_s]$. This implies that:

$$\exp_\phi^{(1)}(\frac{G\widetilde{\pi}}{\omega(t_1)\cdots\omega(t_s)}) \in R + \exp_\phi(\mathcal{K}).$$

But $\exp_\phi^{(1)}(\frac{G\widetilde{\pi}}{\omega(t_1)\cdots\omega(t_s)}) \in \mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)$, and

$$(R + \exp_\phi(\mathcal{K})) \cap \mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty) = A[\underline{t}_s] + \exp_\phi(\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)).$$

Thus, by Lemma 14:

$$\phi_G(\frac{(-1)^{\frac{s-q}{q-1}}}{\theta^{\frac{s-q}{q-1}}}) \in A[\underline{t}_s] + \exp_\phi(\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)).$$

Therefore by Lemma 15, we get that $deg_\theta G \geq \frac{s-q}{q-1}$, and since G divides F_s, we get $G = F_s$, i.e.:

$$V = L(t_1, \cdots, t_s)R.$$

This implies that $\exp_\phi^{(1)}$ induces an injective morphism of R-modules:

$$\frac{\mathbb{F}_q(\underline{t}_s)U_s}{L(t_1, \cdots, t_s)R} \to \frac{\mathcal{K}}{R + \exp_\phi(\mathcal{K})}.$$

But the two $\mathbb{F}_q(\underline{t}_s)$-vector spaces above have the same dimension, therefore the above map is an isomorphism of R-modules and in particular $\frac{\mathcal{K}}{R+\exp_\phi(\mathcal{K})}$ is a cyclic R-module. □

Corollary 4. *The function* $\exp_\phi^{(1)}$ *induces an injective morphism of A-modules :*

$$\frac{U_s}{L(t_1, \ldots, t_s)A[\underline{t}_s]} \to H_s,$$

and its cokernel is a finitely generated and torsion $\mathbb{F}_q[\underline{t}_s]$*-modules.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)$ such that $f \in R + \exp_\phi(\mathcal{K})$. Then there exists $a \in A[\underline{t}_s] \setminus \{0\}$ such that :

$$af \in A[\underline{t}_s] + \exp_\phi(\mathcal{K}).$$

But since $\exp_\phi(\mathcal{K}) \cap \mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)) = \exp_\phi(\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)$, we get:

$$af \in A[\underline{t}_s] + \exp_\phi(\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)).$$

Since $s \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$, H_s is a free $\mathbb{F}_q[\underline{t}_s]$-module ([6], Proposition 7.2), we get:

$$f \in A[\underline{t}_s] + \exp_\phi(\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)).$$

Therefore we have an injective morphism of A-modules induced by the inclusion $\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty) \subset \mathcal{K}$:

$$H_s \to \frac{\mathcal{K}}{R + \exp_\phi(\mathcal{K})}.$$

Furthermore the inclusion $U_s \subset \mathbb{F}_q(\underline{t}_s)U_s$ induces an injective morphism of A-modules :

$$\frac{U_s}{L(t_1, \ldots, t_s)A[\underline{t}_s]} \to \frac{\mathbb{F}_q(\underline{t}_s)U_s}{L(t_1, \ldots, t_s)R}.$$

The Corollary is a consequence of the proof of Theorem 6. □

8.3. **The case of Taelman's class modules.**

Let χ be a Dirichlet character of conductor f and type $s \geq 2$. Recall that there exists $\zeta_1(\chi), \ldots, \zeta_s(\chi) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ such that :

$$\sigma(g(\chi)) = (\zeta_1(\chi) - \theta) \cdots (\zeta_s(\chi) - \theta) g(\chi),$$

where $\sigma = \tau \otimes 1$ on $A[\lambda_f] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_1(\chi), \ldots, \zeta_s(\chi))$. A property is said to be true for almost all Dirichlet characters χ of type s if there exists $F(t_1, \ldots, t_s) \in A[\underline{t}_s] \setminus \{0\}$ such that the property is true for every character χ such that $F(\zeta_1(\chi), \ldots, \zeta_s(\chi)) \neq 0$ (see [6], section 9).

Let χ be a Dirichlet character of type $s \geq 2$ and conductor f. Set :

$$e_\chi = \frac{1}{\mid \Delta_f \mid} \sum_{\mu \in \Delta_f} \chi(\mu) \mu^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_1(\chi), \ldots, \zeta_s(\chi))[\Delta_f].$$

The χ-isotypic component of Taelman's class module associated to the Carlitz module and the field $K(\lambda_f)$ is defined by (see also [6], section 9):

$$H_\chi = e_\chi\Big(\frac{K(\lambda_f) \otimes_K K_\infty}{A[\lambda_f] + \exp_C(K(\lambda_f) \otimes_K K_\infty)} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_1(\chi), \ldots, \zeta_s(\chi))\Big).$$

Observe that H_χ is a finitely generated and torsion $A[\zeta_1(\chi), \ldots, \zeta_s(\chi)]$-module.

Theorem 7.
Let s be an integer, $s \geq 2$, $s \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$. Then for almost all Dirichlet characters χ of type s, H_χ is a cyclic $A[\zeta_1(\chi), \ldots, \zeta_s(\chi)]$-module and generated as a $A[\zeta_1(\chi), \ldots, \zeta_s(\chi)]$-module by :

$$\frac{g(\chi)}{\theta^{\frac{s-q}{q-1}}}.$$

Proof. Let M be the sub-A-module of H_s generated by $\frac{1}{\theta^{\frac{s-q}{q-1}}}$. Then by Corollary 4 and Lemma 14, the $A[\underline{t}_s]$-module $\frac{H_s}{M}$ is a finitely generated and torsion $\mathbb{F}_q[\underline{t}_s]$-module. Let $F(t_1, \ldots, t_s) \in A[\underline{t}_s] \setminus \{0\}$ such that $F(t_1, \ldots, t_s)\frac{H_s}{M} = \{0\}$. Now, let χ be a Dirichlet character of type s and conductor f such that $F(\zeta_1(\chi), \ldots, \zeta_s(\chi)) \neq 0$. Let $ev_\chi : \mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty) \to K_\infty(\zeta_1(\chi), \ldots, \zeta_s(\chi)), f(t_1, \ldots, t_s) \mapsto f(\zeta_1(\chi), \ldots, \zeta_s(\chi))$. By [6], Lemma 9.1 and Proposition 9.2, ev_χ induces a surjective morphism of A-modules:

$$\psi : H_s \to H_\chi.$$

This morphism is induced by the map:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty) &\to g(\chi) K_\infty(\zeta_1(\chi), \ldots, \zeta_s(\chi)) \\ f &\mapsto g(\chi) ev_\chi(f), \end{aligned}$$

where by [6], section 9, $e_\chi((K(\lambda_f) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} K_\infty) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_1(\chi), \ldots, \zeta_s(\chi)))$ is identified with $g(\chi) K_\infty(\zeta_1(\chi), \ldots, \zeta_s(\chi))$. But, by the choice of χ, ψ induces a surjective morphism of A-modules :

$$M \to H_\chi.$$

The Theorem follows. □

8.4. **Pseudo-nullity.**

Let $s \geq 2$ and set :

$$\mathcal{M}_s = \{\phi_a(u_s), a \in A[\underline{t}_s]\},$$

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{M}_s} = \{b \in A[\underline{t}_s], \exists a \in A[\underline{t}_s] \setminus \{0\}, \phi_a(b) \in \mathcal{M}_s\}.$$

Proposition 8.
Let $s \geq 2, s \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$. *Then* $\sqrt{\mathcal{M}_s} = \exp_\phi(U_s)$. *Furtermore* H_s *is a torsion* $\mathbb{F}_q[\underline{t}_s]$*-module if and only if* $\sqrt{\mathcal{M}_s} = \mathcal{M}_s$.

Proof. Recall that, since $s \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$, $\exp_\phi : \mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty) \to \mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)$ is injective (see [6], Remark 6.3). Since $\mathcal{M}_s \subset \exp_\phi(\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty))$, we get $\sqrt{\mathcal{M}_s} \subset \exp_\phi(\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty))$, and therefore $\sqrt{\mathcal{M}_s} = \exp_\phi(U_s)$. Thus, $\exp_\phi$ induces an isomorphism of A-modules:

$$\frac{U_s}{L(t_1, \ldots, t_s)A[\underline{t}_s]} \simeq \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{M}_s}}{\mathcal{M}_s}.$$

The Proposition is then a consequence of [6], Remark 9.13. □

A natural question is wether or not H_s is a torsion $\mathbb{F}_q[\underline{t}_s]$-module when $s \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$ (see [6], Question 9.10). First, we observe the following :

Proposition 9. *Let* $s \geq 2$, $s \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$. *We have:*

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{M}_s} = \mathcal{M}_s.$$

Proof. We keep the notation used in the proof of Theorem 6. For simplicity set $\mathcal{N} = \exp_\phi(\mathcal{K}) = \{x \in \mathcal{K}, v_\infty(x) > \frac{s-q}{q-1}\} = \exp_\phi(\mathcal{N})$, and set $E = \frac{\mathcal{K}}{\mathcal{N}}$ viewed as an R-module via ϕ. Set $\mathcal{U}_s = \mathbb{F}_q(\underline{t}_s)U_s = \{x \in \mathcal{K}, \exp_\phi(x) \in R\}$. Then $\exp_\phi^{(1)}$ induces an injective morphism of A-modules : $\mathcal{U}_s \to E$. Recall that we have the following equality in E :

$$\exp_\phi^{(1)}(L(t_1, \ldots, t_s)) = u_s.$$

Also note that:

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{U}_s \oplus \mathcal{N}.$$

Let $x \in \mathcal{K}$ and let $c \in R \setminus \mathbb{F}_q(\underline{t}_s)$ such that $\phi_c(x) = 0$ in E. Then there exists $y \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $\phi_c(x) = \exp_\phi(y)$. But this implies that (see [6], proof of Corollary 6.5) :

$$x \in \exp_\phi(\frac{y}{c}) + \exp_\phi(\frac{1}{c}\mathcal{U}_s).$$

We get $x = 0$ in E. Therefore, for $c \in R \setminus \{0\}$, $\phi_c : E \to E$ is an injective morphism of A-modules.
Now let $b \in \sqrt{\mathcal{M}_s} \setminus \{0\}$, then there exists $a, c \in A[\underline{t}_s] \setminus \{0\}$, such that:

$$\phi_a(b) = \phi_c(u_s).$$

By the above discussion, we get in E :

$$b = \exp_\phi^{(1)}(\frac{cL(t_1, \ldots, t_s)}{a}).$$

Write $c = da + r$ with $d, r \in A[\underline{t}_s]$ and $\deg_\theta(r) < \deg_\theta(a)$, and observe that :

$$\frac{cL(t_1, \ldots, t_s)}{a} = dL(t_1, \ldots, t_s) + \frac{rL(t_1, \ldots, t_s)}{a} \in \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{U}_s \oplus \mathcal{N}.$$

Thus, since $\exp_\phi^{(1)}(\mathcal{N})\subset\mathcal{N}$, by the proof of Theorem 6:

$$\frac{rL(t_1,\ldots,t_s)}{a}\in L(t_1,\ldots,t_s)R\oplus\mathcal{N}.$$

Since $\deg_\theta(r)<\deg_\theta(a)$, $\frac{rL(t_1,\ldots,t_s)}{a}\in\mathcal{N}$, thus, using $\exp_\phi^{(1)}(\mathcal{N})\subset\mathcal{N}$, we get in E :

$$b=\exp_\phi^{(1)}(dL(t_1,\ldots,t_s))=\phi_d(u_s)$$

Since $b,u_s\in A[\underline{t}_s]$, this implies the equality in $\mathcal{K}: b=\phi_d(u_s)$, i.e. $b\in\mathcal{M}_s$. □

Theorem 8. *Let* $s\geq 2$, *then:*

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{M}_s}=\mathcal{M}_s.$$

Proof. We will use a similar argument as that used in the proof of Lemma 15. We can assume that $s\not\equiv 1\pmod{q-1}$ and let $r\in\{2,\ldots,q-1\}$ such that $s\equiv r\pmod{q-1}$. We assume $s>r$, the case $s=r$ being obvious. Set :

$$N=\{x\in\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty),v_\infty(x)\geq\frac{s-r}{q-1}\}.$$

Then, since $\frac{s-r}{q-1}>\frac{s-q}{q-1}$, we have :

$$N=\exp_\phi(N)\subset\exp_\phi(\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)).$$

Observe that:

$$\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)=\frac{1}{\theta^{\frac{s-r}{q-1}-1}}A[\underline{t}_s]\oplus N.$$

Set :

$$E=\frac{\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)}{A[\underline{t}_s]+N}.$$

Observe that E is a free and finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_q[\underline{t}_s]$-module of rank $\frac{s-r}{q-1}-1$. By Proposition 8, in order to prove the Theorem, it is enough to prove that there exists $\lambda\in\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)$, $v_\infty(\lambda)=0$, such that if we write in E :

$$k\in\{1,\ldots,\frac{s-r}{q-1}-1\},\ \exp_\phi(\theta^{-k}\lambda)=\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{s-r}{q-1}-1}\beta_{j,k}(\lambda)\theta^{-j},\ \beta_{j,k}(\lambda)\in\mathbb{F}_q[\underline{t}_s],$$

then $det((\beta_{j,k}(\lambda))_{j,k})\in\mathbb{F}_q[\underline{t}_s]\setminus\{0\}$.

Let $\psi:A\to\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)\{\tau\}$ be the morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebras given by :

$$\psi_\theta=(t_1-\theta)\cdots(t_r-\theta)\tau+\theta.$$

Let $\exp_\psi=1+\sum_{j\geq 1}\frac{b_j(t_1)\cdots b_j(t_r)}{D_j}\tau^j$. Recall that (Lemma 9):

$$\exp_\psi(L(t_1,\ldots,t_r))=1.$$

Set:

$$\eta=\frac{1}{\omega(t_{r+1})\cdots\omega(t_s)}\in\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)^\times.$$

Observe that $v_\infty(\eta)=\frac{s-r}{q-1}$. We have :

$$\forall x\in\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty),\exp_\phi(\eta x)=\eta\exp_\psi(x).$$

Thus, for $k\geq 0$:

$$\exp_\phi(\theta^kL(t_1,\ldots,t_r)\eta)=\eta\psi_{\theta^k}(1).$$

Now, observe that :

$$\exp_\phi(\theta^k L(t_1,\ldots,t_r)\eta)\mid_{t_1=0,\ldots,t_s=0}\in(-\theta)^{\frac{s-r}{q-1}}\theta^k+(-\theta)^{k+1-\frac{s-r}{q-1}}A.$$

Now, if we set $\lambda=\theta^{-\frac{s-r}{q-1}}L(t_1,\ldots,t_r)\eta$, $\lambda\in\mathbb{T}_s(K_\infty)$, $v_\infty(\lambda)=0$, and (see the proof of Lemma 15):

$$det((\beta_{j,k}(\lambda))_{j,k})\mid_{t_1=0,\ldots,t_s=0}\neq 0.$$

□

We deduce from the above Theorem by a similar argument as that of the proof of Theorem 7:

Corollary 5.
Let s be an integer, $s\geq 2$, $s\not\equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$. Then for almost all Dirichlet characters χ of type s, $H_\chi=\{0\}$.

References

[1] G. Anderson, Log-algebraicity of twisted A-harmonic series and special values of L-series in characteristic p, *Journal of Number Theory* **60** (1996), 165-209.

[2] G. Anderson, D. Thakur, Tensor powers of the Carlitz module and zeta values, *Annals of Mathematics* **132** (1990), 159-191.

[3] B. Anglès, A. Bandini, F. Bars, I. Longhi, Iwasawa Main Conjecture for the Carlitz cyclotomic extension and applications, arXiv : 1412.5957 .

[4] B. Anglès, F. Pellarin, Universal Gauss-Thakur sums and L-series, to appear in *Inventiones mathematicae* .

[5] B. Anglès, F. Pellarin, Functional identities for L-series values in positive characteristic, *Journal of Number Theory* **142** (2014), 223-251.

[6] B. Anglès, F. Pellarin, F. Tavares Ribeiro, Arithmetic of positive characteristic L-series values in Tate algebras, arXiv:1402.0120.

[7] B. Anglès, F. Pellarin, F. Tavares Ribeiro, Anderson-Stark units for $\mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$, arXiv: 1501.06804.

[8] B. Anglès, L. Taelman, Arithmetic of characteristic p special L-values, to appear in *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, arXiv : 1205.2794.

[9] B. Anglès, L. Taelman, The Spiegelungssatz for the Carlitz module, *Journal of Number Theory* **133** (2013), 2139-2142.

[10] F. Demeslay, A class formula for L-series in positive characteristic, arXiv : 412.3704v1 .

[11] J. Fang, Special L-values of abelian t-modules, *Journal of Number Theory* **147** (2015), 300-325.

[12] J. Fresnel, M. van der Put, *Rigid Analytic Geometry and Its Applications*, Birkhäuser, 2004.

[13] D. Goss, *Basic Structures of Function Field Arithmetic*, Springer, Berlin, 1996.

[14] D. Goss, On the L-series of F. Pellarin, *Journal of Number Theory* **133** (2013), 955-962.

[15] D. Goss, Units and class groups in the arithmetic of function fields, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* **13** (1985), 131-132.

[16] D. Goss, v-adic Zeta Functions, L-series and Measures for Function Fields, *Inventiones mathematicae* **55** (1979), 107-116.

[17] D. Goss, W. Sinnott, Class groups of function fields, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **52** (1985), 507-516.

[18] R. Greenberg, Iwasawa theory-past and present, *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics* **30** (2001), 335-385.

[19] D. Hayes, Explicit class field theory for rational function fields, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **189** (1974), 77-91.

[20] K. Ireland, D. Small, A note on Bernoulli-Goss polynomials, *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin* **27** (1984),179-184.

[21] H.W. Leopoldt, Zur Strukture der l-Klassengruppe galoischer Zahlkorper, *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* **199** (1958), 165-174.

[22] B. Mazur, A. Wiles, Class fields of abelian extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$, *Inventiones mathematicae* **76** (1984), 179-330.

[23] J. Neukirch. *Algebraic Number Theory.* Springer, 1999.

[24] F. Pellarin, Values of certain L-series in positive characteristic, *Annals of Mathematics* **176** (2012), 1-39.

[25] R. Perkins, On Pellarin's L-series, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **142** (2014), 3355-3368.

[26] R. Perkins, An exact degree for multivariate special polynomials, *Journal of Number Theory* **142** (2014), 252-263.

[27] R. Perkins, Explicit formulae for L-values in positive characteristic, *Mathematische Zeitschrift* **278** (2014), 279-299.

[28] M. Rosen, *Number Theory in Function Fields*, Springer, 2002.

[29] L. Taelman, A Dirichlet unit theorem for Drinfeld modules, *Mathematische Annalen* **348** (2010), 899-907.

[30] L. Taelman, Special L-values of Drinfeld modules, *Annals of Mathematics* **75** (2012), 369-391.

[31] L. Taelman, A Herbrand-Ribet theorem for function fields, *Inventiones mathematicae* **188** (2012), 253-275.

[32] D. Thakur, Gauss sums for $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$, *Inventiones mathematicae* **94** (1988), 105-112 .

[33] L. C. Washington, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, Second Edition, Springer, 1997.

Université de Caen Basse-Normandie, Laboratoire de Mathématiques Nicolas Oresme, CNRS UMR 6139, Campus II, Boulevard Maréchal Juin, B.P. 5186, 14032 Caen Cedex, France.

E-mail address: `bruno.angles@unicaen.fr, floric.tavares-ribeiro@unicaen.fr`